



the national archives

(c) crown copyright

Registered File Disposal Form

MOD Form 262F
(Revised 9/01)

FILE TITLE: (Main Heading - Secondary Heading - Tertiary Heading etc)
**INFORMATION MANAGEMENT -
 DEFENCE INFORMATION MGT
 THE FREEDOM OF INFORMATION ACT.
 REQUESTS FOR INFORMATION.**

Reference:
(Prefix and Number):
010AS/102/8/13.
 Part: **A.**

PROTECTIVE MARKING (including caveats & descriptors): **U.**

Date of last enclosure: **15 / 02 / 05**

Date closed: **25 / 07 / 07**

PART 1. DISPOSAL SCHEDULE RECOMMENDATION
 (To be completed when the file is closed)

Destroy after _____ years

Forward to INFO(EXP)-R after _____ years

No recommendation

FOR DEFENCE INFO(EXP)-R USE ONLY

Date of 1st review Date of 2nd review Forward Destruction Date

Reviewer's Signature: _____ Reviewer's Signature: _____

PART 2. BRANCH REVIEW
 (To be fully completed at time of file closure)
 (Delete as appropriate)

RETAIN

a. Of no further administrative value and not worthy of permanent preservation. DESTROY IMMEDIATELY (Remember that TOP SECRET and Codeword material cannot be destroyed locally and must be forwarded to INFO(EXP)-R.

b. (i) To be retained until the end of the year _____ for the following reason(s):

LEGAL <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	DEFENCE POLICY + OPERATIONS <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
CONTRACTUAL <input type="checkbox"/>	ORIGINAL COMMITTEE PAPERS <input type="checkbox"/>
FINANCE/AUDIT <input type="checkbox"/>	MAJOR EQUIPMENT PROJECT <input type="checkbox"/> [_____]
DIRECTORATE POLICY <input type="checkbox"/>	OTHER (Specify) <input type="checkbox"/>

(ii) Key enclosures which support the recommendation are:

(iii) At the end of the specified retention period the file is to be:

Destroyed

Considered by DR for permanent preservation

c. Of no further administrative value but worthy of consideration by INFO(EXP)-R for permanent preservation.

PERMANENT RETENTION

PART 3. BRANCH (Block Capitals) (Equivalent)

Signature: _____

Name: _____

(Block Capitals)

Grade/Rank: GRADE 7 Date: 25/7/07

Branch Title and Full Address:

*MOD
MAIN BUILDING
WHITEHALL
LONDON*

Tel No: _____

PART 4 DESTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

It is certified that the specified file has been destroyed.

Signature: _____

Name: _____

(Block Capitals)

Grade/Rank: _____ Date: _____

Witnessed by (TOP SECRET* and SECRET only)

Signature: _____

Name: _____

(Block Capitals)

Grade/Rank: _____ Date: _____

*(FOR DR USE ONLY)



Dear [REDACTED]

Reference:07-02-2005-170455-010

I am writing concerning your e-mail message about the information the MOD holds about UFO sightings. Your message has been passed to this department as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence about UFOs.

This department holds details of UFO sightings reported to the MOD and public correspondence about UFOs (some of which also contain sighting reports) spanning a 25 year period. These records are not held electronically, but are filed on paper files in the order in which they were received. In order to release information from these documents we are required to redact all personal information about the people who made the reports in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. As you are aware, we have already released some information into the MOD Freedom of Information Publication Scheme and we are currently compiling a database of reports which we intend to add to the Publication Scheme at the end of June 2005. We are also looking at what further documents we can add to the Publication Scheme in the near future. In the meantime, if there is any particular information you are seeking, you are able to make a request in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act 2000 either via the same route as this request or directly to my address at the end of this message. Please bare in mind that if a request exceeds the permitted £600 limit set for compliance with the Freedom of Information Act and as provided by Section 12 of the Act, the Ministry of Defence is not obliged to comply with your request. You should therefore be as specific as possible about the information you are seeking.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]
Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information
5th Floor, Zone H [REDACTED] 0
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

e-mail: das-ufo-office@mod.uk

15th February 2005

GxAITESTPTCD/

14 February 05

Home My Cases Log New Request Policy Guidance Help

Request: [Redacted] 07-02-2005-170455-010*

Received: [Redacted]

All sources

Days Left: 15

Expiry Date: [Redacted] 05

Status: Internal Transfer

Workflow Options

Editing the request details will initiate a new search. The new search results will be saved and will replace the existing save search results.

Internal Transfer

View

Date request received: 04 February 2005

Audit Trail

Comments Log

Saved Search Result

Contact Details

Documents

Actions

Applicant Details

Assign Within My Group

Title: [Redacted]

Other: [Redacted]

Change Alert Settings

First Name: [Redacted]

*Surname: [Redacted]

Edit Request Details

Organisation: [Redacted]

Upload Document

Applicant Type: Not Specified

Other: [Redacted]

Close Case

Take Ownership

Contact Details (Mailing or email address required)

Address Line1: [Redacted]

Address Line2: [Redacted]

Address Line3: [Redacted]

Town/City: [Redacted]

Postcode: [Redacted]

Country: United Kingdom

Email: [Redacted]@aol.com

Telephone: [Redacted]

Fax: [Redacted]

Clear

< Back

Next >

Cancel



GxAITESTPTCD/

14 February 05

Home My Cases Log New Request Policy Guidance Help

Request: [redacted] 07-02-2005-170455-010
Days Left: 15

Received: 04 Feb 05
Expiry Date: 04 Mar 05
Status: Internal Transfer

Search icon All sources [dropdown]

Workflow Options

Internal Transfer

View

- Audit Trail
- Comments Log
- Saved Search Result
- Contact Details
- Documents

Actions

- Assign Within My Group
- Change Alert Settings
- Edit Request Details
- Upload Document
- Close Case
- Take Ownership

Editing the request details will initiate a new search.
The new search results will be saved and will replace the existing save search results.

Request Details

Response Format Requested:

Language Requested Welsh

*Enter the request for information:

In typing UFO into the Freedom of information act database only seven responses were indicated. I am sure there have been more documents pertaining to the sightings of UFOs. How do I receive these documents?

Record storage location of Applicant request (or upload document).

To be held by the help desk until allocation.

Clear


Back

Next

Cancel



**ENCLOSURE TRANSFERRED TO
FILE D/DAS/10/2/8/16 PART A**



AUTHORISATION FOR THE RELEASE OF INFORMATION

Applicant: [REDACTED] Independent on Sunday

Case Number: 06-01-2005-140535-008

Expiry: 3 February 2005

The Applicant has made the following request for information:

1. Findings of an MOD investigation into an alleged UFO sighting above Craiguscar reservoir near Dunfermline, Fife.
2. Photograph of a UFO near Pitlochry, Perthshire in 1990.
3. All correspondence, (letters, memos, etc) between ministers and civil servants relating to the incident at Rendlesham Forest, Suffolk in December 1980.

Case for release of information

1. A search of DAS files has produced some papers about this event. DAS files contain no reply to [REDACTED] LM of 28 February 1994, so DI55c were consulted. They have confirmed that they have no additional records on their files. [REDACTED] has not requested copies of the documents, so a summary of these events has been provided in the attached draft letter.
2. The only photographs the MOD holds of UFO sightings are those sent to us by members of the public. A search of our files covering 1990 has produced some details about this event, including a record that the photographs sent to the MOD were returned to The Scottish Daily Record. Details have been included in the attached draft letter.
3. All of the papers the MOD holds on the Rendlesham Forest incident are already available in the Publication Scheme. The only correspondence between civil servants and Ministers about this event would be background notes to PQs and it is not clear if this is what he is seeking. [REDACTED] has been advised how to access this information in the Publication Scheme and if he is seeking parliamentary correspondence, that he clarifies what specific information he is seeking.

I attach a draft letter and background papers.

Authorisation

I hereby give authorisation for the release of the aforementioned information to the Applicant.

Grade/Rank:B2..... Name:..........

Authorisation Reference Number: DAS-FOI 11/05.....

Date:.....3/2/05.....



From [REDACTED]
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Copy of what sent out

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) [REDACTED]
e-mail das-ufo-office@mod.uk

[REDACTED]
Independent on Sunday
Independent House
191 Marsh Wall
London
E14 9RS

Your Reference
Our Reference
06-01-2005-140535-008
Date
3 February 2005

Dear [REDACTED]

I am writing concerning your message dated 6 January in which you made a request under the Freedom of Information Act for information about Unidentified Flying Object (UFO) sightings. Your request has been passed to this department as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence about UFOs.

First you requested the findings of an MOD investigation into an alleged UFO sighting above Craighluscar Reservoir near Dunfermline, Fife. Our records show that The Scottish Daily Record contacted the MOD in February 1994 concerning a UFO sighting report and some photographs that they had been sent by a member of the public. The individual reported travelling to Craighluscar Reservoir on the afternoon of 19th February 1994 to take some photographs of the Reservoir to assist with a painting of the area. He heard a humming noise, turned towards the Reservoir and saw a disc-like object, high in the sky, moving slowly in his direction. He described the object as metallic, with several points of diffused light on its underside, inside a darker coloured rim. He took two photographs before the object moved away to the west. Air defence staff were consulted at the time and records show that there had been no unusual radar returns. The photographs are not contained in our files and we assume they were returned to the Scottish Daily Record.

You also requested a photograph of a UFO near Pitlochry, Perthshire in 1990. The MOD does not produce photographs of UFO sightings. The only photographs the MOD holds are those which members of the public very occasionally send to us with their sighting reports. If requested these are returned to the individual, or if they do not want them returned, they are filed with the report in our files. We have examined our records for 1990 and they contain no photographs relevant to your request. However, we have a record that two members of the public contacted The Scottish Daily Record to report a large diamond shape UFO hovering for about 10 minutes before ascending vertically upwards at high speed. The witnesses said that during the sighting an RAF aircraft believed to be a Harrier was in the area. The sighting was over Calvine, 20 miles north of Pitlochry at 2100 hours on 4 August 1990. A number of colour photographs were taken and passed to The Scottish Daily Record, who in turn sent the negatives to the MOD. These were considered at the time and it was concluded that the jet was a Harrier. No definite conclusions were reached regarding large diamond-shaped object. Our records show that the original negatives were returned to The Scottish Daily Record.

Finally, you asked for correspondence between ministers and civil servants relating to the incident at Rendlesham Forest, Suffolk in December 1980. The MOD papers on this incident are already published in the MOD Freedom of Information Publication Scheme on the internet and can be viewed at <http://www.foi.mod.uk>. A search under 'Rendlesham Forest' will take you directly to these documents. We are not aware of any specific internal correspondence about this incident which has not already been released. It is normal practice for officials to brief Ministers as to the background of parliamentary business, for example, when answering specific parliamentary questions. If this is the type of information you are seeking, it would be useful if you could clarify the information you are particularly searching for.

I hope this is useful. If this information does not address your requirements or you wish to complain about any aspect of the handling of this request, then you should contact the undersigned in the first instance. Should you remain dissatisfied, then you may apply for an internal review by contacting the Director of Information Exploitation, 6th Floor, MOD Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB.

If you are still unhappy following an internal review, you may take your complaint to the Information Commissioner under the provisions of Section 50 of the Freedom of Information Act. Please note that the Information Commissioner will not investigate your case until the MOD internal review process has been completed. Further details of the role and powers of the Information Commissioner can be found on the Commissioner's website, <http://www.informationcommissioner.gov.uk>

Yours sincerely,

A solid black rectangular box used to redact the signature of the undersigned.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED] on behalf of Info-Access-Office
Sent: 06 January 2005 16:05
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: FOI INFORMATION REQUEST

Categories: FOI Request

-----Original Message-----

From: feedback@www.mod.uk [mailto:feedback@www.mod.uk]
Sent: 06 January 2005 13:03
To: Info-Access-Office
Subject: FOI INFORMATION REQUEST

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Thursday, January 6, 2005 at 13:02:34

FirstName: [REDACTED]
LastName: [REDACTED]
occupation: Journalist
Company: Independent on Sunday
Address1: Independent House
Address2: 191 Marsh Wall
City: London
Zip: E14 9RS
Country: United Kingdom
e-mail: [REDACTED]@independent.co.uk
telephone: [REDACTED]
preferred format: hardcopy

1. infosubject: Findings of an MOD investigation into an alleged UFO sighting above Craigluscar reservoir near Dunfermline, Fife. 19 February 1994
2. Photograph of a UFO near Pitlochry, Perthshire in 1990
3. All correspondence, (letters, memos, etc) between ministers and civil servants relating to the incident at Rendlesham Forest, Suffolk in December 1980.

submit: Send Form

[Redacted]

From: DI55B on behalf of DI 55-B
Sent: 25 January 2005 16:04
To: [Redacted]
Cc: [Redacted] DI BCR-CG AD; DI BCR-CG2; DAS-FOI
Subject: RE: Freedom of Information Request UFOs.
Importance: Low

[Redacted] on 40

I have looked through the files I hold for record of a reply by [Redacted] in 1994 and can find no coresspondence. I spent half an hour searching (B2 grade).

[Redacted]

-----Original Message-----

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 24 January 2005 14:43
To: DI 55-B
Cc: [Redacted]
Subject: Freedom of Information Request UFOs.

[Redacted] on 40

Please see the email below from [Redacted]. Could you please check your records/files to see if you have any information regarding the alleged UFO sighting and if there is any record of a reply from [Redacted] to [Redacted] LM. Please note that [Redacted] has asked for a reply (or nil return) as soon as possible.

Thank you.

[Redacted]
DI BCR CG3

From: [Redacted]
Sent: 21 January 2005 12:36
To: [Redacted]
Subject: Freedom of Information Request UFOs.

[Redacted]

We have received a FOI request from the Independent on Sunday for the following:

" Findings of an MOD investigation into an alleged UFO sighting above Craiguscar reservoir near Dunfermline, Fife."

I have found a LM reference, D/Sec(AS)12/1) dated 28 February 1994 from [Redacted] (Sec(AS)2a) to Wing Commander [Redacted] (D/Sec(AS)12/1) regarding some photographs and sketches of this UFO sent in by a reporter with the Scottish Daily Record. [Redacted] was asking Wg Cdr [Redacted] on these. There is no record of a reply from Wg Cdr [Redacted] on 40 files, so if your files are available for this period I would be grateful if someone could see if you have anything about this. The sighting was on the afternoon of Saturday 19th February 1994.

The request is due to be answered by 3 February, so I would be grateful for a response (or nil return) as soon as possible.

Regards

[REDACTED]
DAS-FOI

5th Floor, Zone H, [REDACTED] 40

MB
[REDACTED]

**FILES REQUIRED URGENTLY FOR A FREEDOM OF INFORMATION
REQUEST FOR INFORMATION**

- D/Sec(AS)12/2 Part H - UFO Reports ✓
- D/Sec(AS)12/2 Part I - UFO Reports ✓
- D/Sec(AS)12/2 Part J - UFO Reports ✓
- D/Sec(AS)12/2 Part N - UFO Reports ✓

- D/Sec(AS)12/3 Part G - UFO Correspondence ✓
- D/Sec(AS)12/3 Part I - UFO Correspondence ✓
- D/Sec(AS)12/3 Part O - UFO Correspondence ✓
- D/Sec(AS)12/3 Part P - UFO Correspondence ✓
- D/Sec(AS)12/3 Part Q - UFO Correspondence ✓
- D/Sec(AS)12/3 Part R - UFO Correspondence ✓



From: [REDACTED]

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information
5th Floor, Zone H, [REDACTED]
Main Building, Whitehall
LONDON SW1A 2HB

Telephone: (Direct dial) [REDACTED]

(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000

(Fax) [REDACTED]

CHOTs address: DAS-FOI

E-Mail: [REDACTED]@mod.uk

FAX MESSAGE

TO: TNT Archive Services

SUBJECT: URGENT Recall of Files

DATE: 21 January 2005

NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS COVER: 2

URGENT REQUEST FOR FILES

The attached files are urgently required for return to this office in order to answer enquiries in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

Please acknowledge receipt of this request by telephone or e-mail to the address above.

If these files can not be immediately located please telephone me immediately.

***** TRANSMISSION REPORT *****

SID :

Number :

Date : 21-01-05 16:29

Date/Time	21-01 16:29
Dialled number	[REDACTED]
Durat.	0' 32"
Mode	NORMAL
Pages	2
Status	Correct



From [REDACTED]
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
5th Floor, Zone H [REDACTED] Section 40
Main Building, Whitehall
LONDON SW1A 2HB

Telephone: (Direct dial) [REDACTED] 40
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000

(Fax) [REDACTED] 40
CHOs address: DRS-AGRI-OTT [REDACTED] 40
E-Mail: [REDACTED]@mod.uk

FAX MESSAGE

TO: TNT Archive Services
SUBJECT: URGENT Recall of Files
DATE: 21 January 2005
NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS COVER: 2

URGENT REQUEST FOR FILES

The attached files are urgently required for return to this office in order to answer enquiries in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

Please acknowledge receipt of this request by telephone or e-mail to the address above.

If these files can not be immediately located please telephone me immediately.

1.
Copied from

D/Sec (AS) 12/1 Part A

(Enc 98)

- UFO Policy



PAGE 2

On the afternoon of Saturday, 19th. February, 1994, I drove to Craighuscar Reservoir, just outside Dunfermline, in order to take some photographs of that area. I am an amateur artist, and wanted the photographs to assist me in producing a painting of the reservoir. I am a member of the local angling club who stock and have the fishing rights of this water. Already having taken photographs there in the summer, I wanted to have several of the landscape in winter.


I took a number of photographs as I walked along the bank of the reservoir, and was looking eastwards at the furthest point of the reservoir, and pondering whether I should take some photographs looking east, away from the water. I became aware of what I can only describe as a kind of humming noise, such as that from high voltage power lines. I also felt very uneasy, and turned slowly towards the reservoir.

Fairly high in the air, and coming slowly in my direction, was a disc-like object, which was definitely an aircraft. I was holding my camera in my hand, but felt unable to use it. I have never experienced such a feeling before; I did not THINK of taking a shot. In retrospect, it seems there must have been some kind of compulsion. I was concentrating on the craft, yet not really absorbing what was happening. At that point, I was very afraid. But I now realise that the fear passed, and was replaced by a sense of "relaxed resignation". (I don't have better words to describe it.)


I later realised that I must have been looking at whatever it was, for more than fifteen minutes. The craft came close enough for me to see that it was definitely metallic, and had several points of diffused light on its underside, inside a darker coloured "rim".

As the craft began to move away, all sense of the feelings which had stayed my hand, disappeared. I raised my camera, and took two photographs. The craft's acceleration was phenomenal - By the time I'd wound the film on between the two shots, it was a mere dot in the sky to the west. There was no noise at all, apart from the "buzzing" when it was near to me.

I know nothing at all about "U.F.O.'s", and the subject does not interest me. But nothing of what I saw or experienced, was "in my imagination". Of that, I am certain. Later that day, I telephoned R.A.F. Piteavie, to enquire as to whether there had been any unusual air activity that day. I was told there had not been. I am an aircraft enthusiast myself, and pride myself in their recognition. I know that what I saw was no conventional aircraft.



OMP 1 ● '94



AA/JH

23 February 1994

Secretariat (Air Staff)
2A Room 8245
MOD
Main Building
Whitehall
LONDON
SW1A 2HB

**Scottish
Daily Record
& Sunday Mail Ltd.**

Anderston Quay Glasgow G3 8DA

Telephone 041-248 7000

Direct Line 041-242

Fax 041

Dear [redacted]

Please find enclosed prints and artist's drawings of the UFO which we talked about today. I would be grateful for your comments and assessment of these at your earliest convenience.

Thanks for your help with this.

Yours sincerely



[redacted]
Picture Editor

P.S. I will fax more information tomorrow.





TO:



FAX:



DATE:

24 February 1994

PAGES:

This one plus one

Daily Record

Anderston Quay Glasgow G3 8DA

Telephone 041-248 7000

Direct Line 041-242 3

Fax 041-

FROM:



Picture Editor

FAX No.:



TEL. No.:

Attached text as discussed this morning.

Regards



P.P.



Picture Editor

Scottish Daily Record & Sunday Mail Ltd.

A Company registered in Scotland No. 12921

Registered Office 40 Anderston Quay Glasgow G3 8DA

2. Copied from
D/Sec (AS) 12/2 Part J
UFO-Reports

UFO Incident: Saturday 4th August 90

29

Location: Calvi ~~in~~ Scotland.

20 miles North of Pitlochry off the A9.

Time: 9pm approx.

Description: large diamond shape UFO hovering for about 10 minutes before ascending vertically upwards at high speed. During sighting RAF aircraft, believed to be a Harrier made a number of low level passes for 5 to 6 minutes before disappearing off.

Witnesses: [redacted] + 1 unidentified other.

Report passed to [redacted] RAF Press Officer Pitreavie MHQ.

Tel: RAF Pitreavie [redacted]

Additional Information: A number of colour photographs taken by eye witness and pictures passed to RAF Pitreavie and Scottish Daily Record. Original negatives then passed to the Daily Record.

Weather Information: Main Cloud Base 25,000ft dropping down to 15,000ft during showers. Wind West-SW 10-15 knots.
Temperature 14°C. Occasional showers.

Photographic Details: (i) Confirmation of date and time, (ii) What sort of camera
(iii) What type of lens (iv) What focal length

Loose Minute

D/Sec(AS)12/2

14 September 1990

APS/US of S(AF)

[Redacted signature block with handwritten signature]

Copy to:
Head of Sec(AS)
DD GE/AEW
DDPR(RAF)

PHOTOGRAPHS OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT - SCOTTISH DAILY RECORD

1. US of S(AF) may wish to be aware that the Scottish Daily Record may run a story regarding an alleged sighting of a UFO near Pitlochrie in early August. Such stories are not normally drawn to the attention of Ministers and the MoD press office invariably responds to questions along well-established lines emphasising our limited interest in the UFO phenomenon and explaining that we therefore do not have the resources to undertake any in-depth investigations into particular sightings. On this occasion however, the MoD has been provided with six photographic negatives of an alleged UFO by the Scottish Daily Record and has been asked for comments almost certainly for inclusion in a forthcoming story. For this reason it is felt that US of S(AF) should be made aware of the background and the line adopted by the DDPR(RAF) in responding to the newspaper.
2. The photographs, which were received on 10 Sept, are alleged to have been taken near the A9 road at Clavine, north of Pitlochrie on the evening of 4 August. They show a large stationary, diamond-shaped object past which, it appears, a small jet aircraft is flying. The negatives have been considered by the relevant staffs who have established that the jet aircraft is a Harrier (and also identified a barely visible second aircraft, again probably a Harrier) but have reached no definite conclusion regarding the large object. It has also been confirmed that there is no record of Harriers operating in the area at the time at which the photographs are alleged to have been taken. The negatives have now been returned to the Scottish Daily Record.
3. In consultation with DDPR(RAF) it has been agreed that the attached lines to take should be used in responding to the Scottish Daily Record. These are consistent with the position adopted in the replies to the many public and occasional parliamentary enquiries on the subject of UFOs.

[Redacted]
Sec(AS)2a
MB8245 [Redacted]

SCOTTISH DAILY RECORD - PHOTOGRAPHS OF UFO

DEFENSIVE LINES TO TAKE:

- Have looked at photographs, no definite conclusions reached regarding large diamond-shaped object.
- Confident that jet aircraft is a Harrier.
- Have no record of Harriers operating in location at stated time/date.
- No other reports received by MoD of unusual air activity or sightings at location/date/time.

IF PRESSED

Who in MoD studied pictures?

- All sighting reports (including on occasion photographs/drawings etc) received by MoD are referred to the staff in the departments which are responsible for air defence of UK who examine them as part of their normal duties.

Other reports of UFOs from Scotland?

- UFO reports from Scotland are rare.



**ENCLOSURE TRANSFERRED TO
FILE D/DAS/10/2/8/16 PART A**



AUTHORISATION FOR THE RELEASE OF INFORMATION

Applicant: [REDACTED]

Case Number: 12-01-2005-153628-029

Expiry: 9 February 2005

The Applicant has made the following request for information:

Please let me have details of Unidentified Flying Objects: MOD's policy statement on alleged UFO phenomenon, and reports which have been released to the public from as far back as possible.

Case for release of information

[REDACTED] has been advised of the MOD's policy on UFOs and told where she can access released information, both in the MOD FOI Publication Scheme and at The National Archives. We have told the applicant that we are compiling a database of UFO reports for future publication in the PS.

Please see attached a draft reply.

Authorisation

I hereby give authorisation for the release of the aforementioned information to the Applicant.

Grade/Rank: R2 Name: [REDACTED]

Authorisation Reference Number: DAS-FOI 09/05.....

Date: 1/2/05

Dear [REDACTED]

Reference: 12-01-2005-153628-029

I am writing concerning your Freedom of Information request for the Ministry of Defence policy on alleged 'unidentified flying object' phenomenon and reports which have been released to the public. Your request has been passed to this department as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence about UFOs.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the Ministry of Defence does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena. Any reports of UFO sightings the MOD receives are examined solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to the records the MOD holds of such reports, these are kept on paper files in the order in which they are received and this department currently has such records spanning a 25 year period. These records are not held electronically, but are filed on paper files in the order in which they were received. In order to assist members of the public with enquiries about UFO sightings reported to the MOD, this department is currently compiling a database of reports which we intend to publish in the MOD Freedom of Information Publication Scheme at the end of June 2005. The Scheme which already contains some documents about UFOs, including a class of information on the well known events at Rendlesham Forest, Suffolk in December 1980, can be found on the internet at <http://www.foi.mod.uk>. A search under 'UFO' will direct you to this information. In addition, there are MOD files on UFOs which are open to the public at The National Archives, Kew, Richmond, Surrey, TW9 4DU. The National Archives on line catalogue and details of how to access these records can be found on their website at <http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk>.

I hope this is helpful. If this information does not address your requirements or you wish to complain about any aspect of the handling of this request, then you should contact the undersigned in the first instance. Should you remain dissatisfied, then you may apply for an internal review by contacting the Director of Information Exploitation, 6th Floor, MOD Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB.

If you are still unhappy following an internal review, you may take your complaint to the Information Commissioner under the provisions of Section 50 of the Freedom of Information Act. Please note that the Information Commissioner will not investigate your case until the MOD internal review process has been completed. Further details of the role and powers of the Information Commissioner can be found on the Commissioner's website, <http://www.informationcommissioner.gov.uk>

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]
Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information
5th Floor, Zone H, [REDACTED] 40
Main Building
Whitehall
London



SW1A 2HB

das-ufo-office@mod.uk

2nd February 2005

GxAITESTPTCD/
13 January 05

Home My Cases Log New Request Policy Guidance Help

Request: [Redacted] 12-01-2005-153628-029
Days Left: 20

Received: 12 Jan 05
Expiry Date: [Redacted] 05
Status: Open

🔍 All sources

Workflow Options

- AIT Main
- View**
- Audit Trail
- Comments Log
- Saved Search Result
- Contact Details
- Documents

Editing the request details will initiate a new search.
The new search results will be saved and will replace the existing save search results.

Date request received: **12 January 2005**

Actions

- Assign Within My Group
- Change Alert Settings
- Edit Request Details
- Upload Document
- Close Case
- Take Ownership

Applicant Details

Title: [Redacted] 0

Other: [Redacted]

First Name: [Redacted]

*Surname: [Redacted]

Organisation: [Redacted]

Applicant Type: Private Individuals

Other: [Redacted]

Contact Details (Mailing or email address required)

Address Line1: [Redacted]

Address Line2: [Redacted]

Address Line3: Redditch

Town/City: Worcestershire

Postcode: [Redacted]

Country: United Kingdom

Email: [Redacted]@btinternet.com

Telephone: [Redacted]

Fax: [Redacted]

Clear <Back Next> Cancel



GxAITESTPTCD/

13 January 05

Home My Cases Log New Request Policy Guidance Help

Request: [Redacted] 12-01-2005-153628-029
Days Left: 20

Received: 12 Jan 05
Expiry Date: 09 Feb 05
Status: Open

🔍 All sources

Workflow Options

AIT Main

View

- Audit Trail
- Comments Log
- Saved Search Result
- Contact Details
- Documents

Actions

- Assign Within My Group
- Change Alert Settings
- Edit Request Details
- Upload Document
- Close Case
- Take Ownership

Editing the request details will initiate a new search.
The new search results will be saved and will replace the existing save search results.

Request Details

Response Format Requested:

Language Requested Welsh

*Enter the request for information:

Please let me have details of Unidentified Flying Objects:
MOD's policy statement on alleged UFO phenomenon, and reports which have been released to the public from as far back as possible.

Record storage location of Applicant request (or upload document).

Email held with Info Access Helpdesk

Clear

⏪ Back

Next ⏩

Cancel



From: [REDACTED]
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB



Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) [REDACTED]
e-mail das-ufo-office@mod.uk SECTION 40

[REDACTED]
Enniskillen
County Fermanagh
Northern Ireland
[REDACTED]

Your Reference

Our Reference
05-01-2005-144546-047
Date
14 March 2005

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your letter dated 17 February concerning contact details for the UFO group mentioned in my last letter. I apologise for not replying sooner.

The UFO group which passed us details of reports from their members was the Nottingham and Derbyshire branch of the British UFO Research Association. The individual who corresponded with us used his home address which I am unable to provide in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. However, you may wish to be aware that BUFORA have a website where their address is given as BM BUFORA, London, WC1N 2XX, e-mail:enquiries@bufora.org.uk.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely,
[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
**ENNISKILLEN,
County Fermanagh,
N.Ireland.**
[REDACTED]


17 Feb. 05


**Your Reference:
05-01-2005-144546-047**

Dear Sir,

Thank you for your reply of the 1st Feb. Could you please send me the address of the UFO group you mentioned who reported their members seeing the Triangular UFO between 18:00 on the 4th March 98 to 06:00 on the 5th March 98 as I wish to contact them to find out more and compare notes.

Yours Faithfully,





AUTHORISATION FOR THE RELEASE OF INFORMATION

Applicant: [REDACTED]

Case Number: 05-01-2005-144546-047

Expiry: 2 February 2005

The Applicant has made the following request for information:

I would like the details of all the reported Triangular UFO sightings between March 1st 1998 and the end of April 1998.

Case for release of information

We have searched all of the available UFO report and correspondence files for 1998 and the only reports of Triangular UFO sightings are the report [REDACTED] made himself, one in London and one that we were informed of by a UFO group (BUFORA). [REDACTED] has only asked for the details (not copies) so these have been included in the attached draft letter. Personal data has not been included, so no redaction is necessary.

It should be noted that this request would have been completed on 12th January 2005 but TNT have been searching for one of the files (D/Sec(AS)64/3 Part O – UFO Correspondence) which I requested on 5th January. Today (01 February 2005) TNT have informed me that they are unable to locate this file. This information has therefore been supplied without any relevant information which may be contained in this file. The letter to [REDACTED] has been drafted to reflect the fact that we have provided all the available information.

Authorisation

I hereby give authorisation for the release of the aforementioned information to the Applicant.

Grade/Rank: B2 Name: [REDACTED]

Authorisation Reference Number: DAS-FOI 03/05.....

Date: 1/2/05



From: [REDACTED]
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) [REDACTED]
e-mail das-ufo-office@mod.uk

[REDACTED]
Enniskillen
County Fermanagh
Northern Ireland
[REDACTED]

Your Reference

Our Reference
05-01-2005-144546-047
Date
1st February 2005

Dear [REDACTED]

Release of Information

Your correspondence dated 30th December 2004 concerning reported Triangular UFO sightings between 1st March 1998 and the end of April 1998 has been considered to be a request for information in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

We have examined all our available UFO reports and correspondence files for the period you have stated and have found a total of three reports which are relevant to your request. One of these was the report you made on 10 April 1998. The details of the other two are as follows;

1800 on 4 March 1998 to 0600 on 5 March 1998

The MOD did not directly receive any UFO reports, but a UFO group informed us that they had received reports from some of their members. There were several different descriptions of what was seen but some of these described the object as being a dull grey or black triangular object, about the size of a conventional passenger jet, or smaller, with panelling or grooves underneath. Some of those reporting this described a low rumbling noise and others, no apparent engine noise. These sightings were between Mansfield (Nottinghamshire) and Shirebrook (Derbyshire).

0100 to 0430 on 16 April 1998

MOD received a report of a large triangular object with flashing green and red lights seen in the area of Regents Park and Baker Street, London. Enquiries revealed that there was a Search and Rescue helicopter in the area involved in the movement of a casualty to St Mary's Hospital.

I hope this is useful. If this information does not address your requirements or you wish to complain about any aspect of the handling of this request, then you should contact the undersigned in the first instance. Should you remain dissatisfied, then you may apply for an internal review by contacting the Director of Information Exploitation, 6th Floor, MOD Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB.

If you are still unhappy following an internal review, you may take your complaint to the Information Commissioner under the provisions of Section 50 of the Freedom of Information Act. Please note that the Information Commissioner will not investigate your case until the MOD internal review process has been completed. Further details of the role and powers of the

05-01-2005-144546-047

[REDACTED]
ENNISKILLEN,
County Fermanagh,
N.Ireland,

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]@hotmail.com


30th Dec 2004

Dear Sir,

I would like the details of all the reported Triangular UFO sightings
between March 1st 1998 and the end of April 1998.

Yours Faithfully,

[REDACTED]



Royal Mail

04.01.05

04:14 pm



**Ministry of Defence,
Directorate of Air Staff-Freedom of Information
5th Floor, Zone H
Main Building,
London,
SW1A 2HB**



ASSOCIATED
SERVICES OF AMERICA

1964

1964

1964



ANNEX A TO
SOP 502

REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

1. Date, Time and
Duration of Sighting 16 Apr 98
between 0100A & 0430A

2. Description of Object -
One, large triangular object with
flashing (green & red) lights

3. Location (outdoor
/moving)

4. How observed - naked eye

5. Direction in which object first
seen
Southerly

6. Angle of Sight (Estimated
heights are unreliable)

7. Distance (By reference to
a known landmark)

8. Movements (Changes in 5,6 & 7
may be of more use than
estimates of course and speed)

9. Met conditions during
observations - Clear

10. Nearby objects

11. To whom reported - military

12. Name and Address of Informant
Name
Address

13. Background of informant that
may be volunteered

14. Other Witnesses

15. Date, 16 Apr from 0100 to 0300

16. Any Unusual Meteorological
Conditions

17. Remarks

A number of reports from the area of Regents Park/Baker St. After checking with W Drayton & Kinloss it turned out to be a SAR Helo involved in casualty evac/movement for St Marys' Hosp

Date: ~~AUG 97~~ AM 98


RO2
DCDSDO
DCMC


Distribution:

Chots address:

SEC(AS)2A1A
DI55C
ADGE1


SUT
ACOSNO


NB. Note that the format of this form accords with Civpol formats



From: [REDACTED] Secretariat(Air Staff)2a1a, Room 8245,
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE,
Main Building, Whitehall, London. SW1A 2HB



Telephone (Direct dial) 0171 218 2140
(Switchboard) 0171 218 9000
(Fax) [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Chapel en le Frith,
Stockport,
Cheshire.
[REDACTED]

Your reference

Our reference
D/Sec(AS)/64/3
Date

17 April 1998

Dear [REDACTED]

1. Thank you for your letter of 17 March.
2. I have looked back through our sighting report files and have found that no reports were received by the Ministry of Defence for 4/5 March in the Nottinghamshire or Derbyshire areas.

Yours sincerely,
[REDACTED]

B.U.F.O.R.A.

(BRITISH, UNIDENTIFIED, FLYING, OBJECT, RESEARCH, ASSOCIATION)

To: [REDACTED]
SECRETARIAT (AIR STAFF) 2nd Fl.,
ROOM S245,
MAIN BUILDING,
WHITE HALL,
LONDON,
SW1A 2HB.

From: [REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
Chapel-en-le-Frith,
High Peak,
[REDACTED]

Telephone No [REDACTED]

17 MAR 1998

Dear Sir/Madame;

Recently I have been asked on behalf of a number of members of the public to find possible explanations for a sighting of an unidentified ariel nature, this sighting was witnessed by over thirty persons to date, and I have included a brief concerning these sightings upon the page following this letter.

If you were aware of any further reports, possibly connected to these reports- that you can pass onto myself, then I would be most grateful to receive these.


Further if you or your staff were aware of any possible causes that may account for these reports, then I would be most grateful to hear of any such ideas, that you may have.

Finally, I am aware that there was a laser display operating within the area of Mansfield, upon the night that the sighting reports concern, from my inquiries- I have eliminated many of the reported sightings that this display has already caused, though I still have thirty plus reports that I cannot identify as being connected to the display, some of these may turn out to be mis identified aircraft in time.

Thankyou for all your help and assistance, please attend to this inquiry when time permits!

Yours faithfully;

[REDACTED]
[REDACTED]
(B.U.F.O.R.A.)(Nottinghamshire & Derbyshire)
[REDACTED]



The majority of reports received from the telephone enquiries, if believed to be associated with the other reports- concern an unusual object with a triangular form, three edge white lights, with no apparent noise, sighted flying between Mansfield (Nottinghamshire) and (Shirebrook) Derbyshire, from the evening of the 4/3/98 to the morning of the 5/3/98, by thirty plus persons.

Other details concerning the reports are as follows;

1. A very intensely bright blue-white light when first sighted, this light appears to be formed from the three edge light sources upon the object, due to the viewers' position at the time of the sighting.
2. A dull grey or black triangular object, about the size of a conventional passenger jet, or smaller, with panelling or grooves upon its underportion.
3. Within these grooves appears many smaller white lights, arranged within rows.
4. Several witness report green and orange navigational lights steady in luminosity and located close to these grooves.
5. Some witness' report what could be perceived as a shadow reflection of ground lighting, reflected away from the clouds above the object, by its passing.
6. The object appears to have no apparent engine noise, except for a time when the object appears to power up ready for a rapid departure towards the Shirebrook area.
7. The object has either a diamond or triangular shape to itself, reports similar to this shape, have been reported across Derbyshire recently.
8. The object was observed as moving slowly across the Mansfield area at treetop level passing over several witnesses in their cars, before moving off at rapid speed towards Shirebrook.
9. The object was sighted in one instance as having a glowing rear, similar to a heat signature from a jet, similarly several received reports have noted a helicopter sighted as following the same flightpath of the object shortly afterwards.
10. The object appears to have been flying around from 18:00 hrs, on Wednesday 3rd to about 06:00 hrs on Thursday 4th, with periods whereby the object was sighted darting constantly around the area all night, whereas, the first report has the object as grounded close to pylons near the Blidworth area of Nottinghamshire.
11. In one instance a report was received whereby prior to the sound of the low rumbling/powering up sound, a brilliant flash was reported to be seen coming from the area of the craft, this lit up the sky, before the object disappeared.
12. These details match other reported sightings from other groups around the areas of Derbyshire and Nottinghamshire.
13. One witness described how the object had "upwards swept wingtips"?

OTHER REPORTS RECEIVED HAVE NOT BEEN INCLUDED WITHIN THIS BRIEF AT PRESENT.

COMPILED BY [REDACTED] 48 U.F.O.R.A".

Persons wishing to report an unusual sighting, or to enquire for any information concerning this subject may contact myself at; -

THE "BRITISH, UNIDENTIFIED, FLYING, OBJECT RESEARCH, ASSOCIATION"

DERBYSHIRE AND NOTTINGHAMSHIRE INVESTIGATIONS COORDINATOR;

[REDACTED] CAN BE CONTACTED AT;

[REDACTED] CHAPEL-EN-LE-FRITH, HIGH PEAK, [REDACTED]

OR TELEPHONE ; [REDACTED]

The group has 326 investigators in both the U.K and the world.

The group operates upon a professional and unbiased opinion, that all witness' reports require logical, rational investigation to attain possible logical explanations as to the cause of the witness' sightings.

WITNESS' PERSONAL DETAILS ARE NEVER RELEASED AND ARE PROTECTED UNDER THE DATA PROTECTION ACT, A COPY OF WHICH IS AVAILABLE UPON REQUEST.



From: [redacted] Secretariat(Air Staff)2a1a, Room 8245,
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 0171 218 2140
(Switchboard) 0171 218 9000
(Fax) [redacted]

[redacted]

Enniskillen,
Co Fermanagh.

NORTHERN IRELAND

Your reference

Our reference
D/Sec(AS)/64/3
Date

23 April 1998

Dear [redacted]

1. Thank you for your postcard in which you have described an unexplained aerial sighting observed on 10 April from your back garden. This office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence relating to "unidentified flying objects" and I have been asked to reply.

2. First I should explain that the Ministry of Defence examines any reports of "unidentified flying objects" it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the UK Air Defence Region might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorized foreign military activity.

3. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no "UFO" report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each sighting reported to us. We believe that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them if resources were diverted for this purpose, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. It would be an inappropriate use of defence resources if we were to do so.

4. With regard to your particular observation, I have looked back through our sighting report files and can confirm that we received no other reports of "UFO" sightings for 10 April from anywhere Northern Ireland, and we are satisfied that there is no corroborating evidence to suggest that the United Kingdom's airspace was breached by unauthorised military aircraft.

Yours sincerely,

[redacted signature]

[REDACTED]

EDWARDSVILLE

CO FERRISBURGH

NO 3 [REDACTED]

Dear Sir. 10 April

On Good Friday Evening at 11:50
while out in my back garden looking for
the Space Station which is supposed to be visible at the
moment I spotted a gigantic triangular shaped object
moving over our town. It was so large and noiseless
and definitely like nothing I have ever seen before I don't
know who to tell about it, as they would never believe
me around here

yours faithfully
[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 05 January 2005 16:49
To: [REDACTED]@tnt.co.uk'
Cc: [REDACTED]
Subject: Internet-authorised: Request for retrieval of archived files

Dear Sir / Madam

Please could you return the following files to me from your archives. These are needed urgently to answer a Freedom of Information request for information.

MOD FILE REFERENCE	PART	TITLE
D/Sec(AS)64/2	G	UFO Reports
D/Sec(AS)64/2	H	UFO Reports
D/Sec(AS)64/3	L	UFO Correspondence
D/Sec(AS)64/3	M	UFO Correspondence
D/Sec(AS)64/3	N	UFO Correspondence
D/Sec(AS)64/3	O	UFO Correspondence
D/Sec(AS)64/3	P	UFO Correspondence

My UIN is [REDACTED] full postal is:

Ministry of Defence
 Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information
 5th Floor
 Zone H
 [REDACTED]
 Main Building
 Whitehall
 London
 SW1A 2HB

Please give me a call if there are any problems on [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
 DAS-FOI
 [REDACTED]@mod.uk

Part O missing. Telephoned 10.55 11/1/2005 Query No. 31063.

[REDACTED] 13/1/2005

Spoke to [REDACTED] again on 18/1/2005. She will chase with Hayes and call me this afternoon (09.45)

07/01/2005

***** TRANSMISSION REPORT *****

SID :

Number :

Date : 11-01-05 11:07

Date/Time	11-01 11:06
Dialled number	[REDACTED]
Durat.	0' 18"
Mode	NORMAL
Pages	2
Status	Correct

Page 1

Next Working Day by 5pm

CLASSIFIED & UNCLASSIFIED *
 DAS MOD HQ 1 HUB
 5TH FLOOR
 Pages Walk Barrow
 CONTACT: [REDACTED] Section 40
 PHONE: [REDACTED] Section 40

No. 0008393 07/01/2005 14:45
 Acct: 1600016001
 Acct PO#: [REDACTED]
 Service Date: 10/01/2005 17:00
 Auth: [REDACTED]
 Route: Section 40

Notes: Please sign to confirm the receipt of the following assets and send back by post or fax to the following address/number
 TNT Archive Services
 Bourne Avenue
 Hayes
 Middlesex
 UB3 1RF
 Fax: [REDACTED] 40
 Signature: [REDACTED] 40
 Date/Time: 10/1/2005 16:15

If an item on this Workorder is noted as "Out Of Store" or "Out On" then there is information available to its location and you will be contacted by the customer services in the next 24 hours
 If an item on this Workorder is noted as "Not Found" then there is No Trace of it at Hayes and no information available

Location	Container	Alternate Code	Requestor/Cost Center
Building: SW01			[REDACTED] 0
SW01E04CC11A02	RCU000000045157		
Tape: RCU0000696470	AMID: 1419845	Desc: SEC(AS)/64/2	
SW01E05FF08C01	RCU00000006822		
Tape: RCU000238701	Desc: DISEC(AS)/64/3		

WORKORDER SUMMARY

Total Delivery Items	Filefolders: 0	Tapes: 2
Containers: 0		

TNT Archive Services CLASSIFIED & UNCLASSIFIED *

CLASSIFIED & UNCLASSIFIED *
DAS MOD HQ 1 HUB
5TH FLOOR [REDACTED] 0
Rivers Walk Bermondsey

No. 00069393 07/01/2005 14:45
Acct: 16000\16001
Acct PO#:
Service Date: 10/01/2005 17:00
Auth: [REDACTED]
Route: [REDACTED]

CONTACT: [REDACTED]
PHONE: London SE1 4SB

Notes: Please sign to confirm the receipt of the following assets and send back by post or fax to the following address/number
TNT Archive Services
Bourne Avenue
Hayes
Middlesex
UB3 1RF
Fax # [REDACTED]

Signature.. [REDACTED]

Date/Time.. 10/1/2005 16:15

If an Item on this Workorder is noted as "Out Of Store" or "Out On" then there is information available to its location and you will be contacted by the customer services in the next 24 hours

If an Item on this Workorder is noted as "Not Found" then there is No Trace of it at Hayes and no information available

Location	Container	Alternate Code	Requestor\Cost Center
Building: SW01			[REDACTED]
SW01E04CC11A02	RCU000000045157		[REDACTED]
Tape: RCU000696470 AltID: 1419845 Desc: SEC(AS)/64/2			
SW01E05FF08C01	RCU000000066822		[REDACTED]
Tape: RCU000238701 Desc: D/SEC/AS/64/3			

WORKORDER SUMMARY

Total Delivery Items
Containers: 0

Filefolders: 0

Tapes: 2

CLASSIFIED & UNCLASSIFIED *

MOD HQ

1st Floor DAS 5TH FLOOR [REDACTED] 40
F...s Walk Bermondsey

No. 00069369 07/01/2005 13:52

Acct: 16000\16001

Acct PO#:

Service Date: 10/01/2005 17:00

Auth: [REDACTED]

Route: [REDACTED]

CONTACT: [REDACTED]
PHONE: London SE1 4SB

Notes: Please sign to confirm the receipt of the following assets and send back by post or fax to the following address/number

TNT Archive Services
Bourne Avenue
Hayes
Middlesex
UB3 1RF
Fax # [REDACTED]

Signature.. [REDACTED]

Date/Time.. 10/1/2005 16:15

If an Item on this Workorder is noted as "Out Of Store" or "Out On" then there is information available to its location and you will be contacted by the customer services in the next 24 hours

If an Item on this Workorder is noted as "Not Found" then there is No Trace of it at Hayes and no information available

Location	Container	Alternate Code	Requestor\Cost Center
Building: SW01			
SW01D02II18A04	RCU000000024786		[REDACTED]
Tape: RCU000131973	AltID: 1427156	Desc: SEC(AS)64/3	
SW01D02JJ01B03	RCU000000024784		[REDACTED]
Tape: RCU000131985	AltID: 1427263	Desc: SEC(AS)64/3	
SW01D05KK01A05	RCU000000023177		[REDACTED]
Tape: RCU000131060	AltID: 1427200	Desc: SEC(AS)64/3	
SW01E05AA05B07	RCU000000154028	RASP 01344	[REDACTED]
Tape: RCU000696481	AltID: 1419769	Desc: SEC(AS)/64/2	

WORKORDER SUMMARY

Total Delivery Items
Containers: 0

Filefolders: 0

Tapes: 4

***** TRANSMISSION REPORT *****

SID :

Number :

Date : 07-01-05 10:24

Date/Time	7-01 10:24
Dialled number	[REDACTED]
Durat.	0'32"
Mode	NORMAL
Pages	2
Status	Correct



From: [REDACTED]
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
5th Floor, Zone H [REDACTED] Main Building, Whitehall
LONDON SW1A 2HB

Telephone: (Direct dial) [REDACTED] 40
(Switchboard) 020 7216 9060
(Fax) [REDACTED] 40
E-Mail: [REDACTED]@mod.uk

FAX MESSAGE

TO: FAO of [REDACTED] n 40
SUBJECT: Request for Files
DATE: 7th January 2005
NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS COVER: 2

[REDACTED] 40
As discussed. Please see attached details of the request for files that I e-mailed on Wednesday. Please call me to confirm that you have received this request.

Regards.
[REDACTED] 40

[REDACTED] called at 12.30 - 7 Jan 05. Tel: [REDACTED]
Has request and will look for files



From: [REDACTED]

MINISTRY OF DEFENCE

Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information
5th Floor, Zone H [REDACTED] Main Building, Whitehall
LONDON SW1A 2HB

Telephone: (Direct dial) [REDACTED]
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) [REDACTED]

E-Mail [REDACTED]@mod.uk

FAX MESSAGE

TO: FAO of [REDACTED] 40

SUBJECT: Request for Files

DATE: 7th January 2005

NUMBER OF PAGES INCLUDING THIS COVER: 2

[REDACTED]

As discussed. Please see attached details of the request for files that I e-mailed on Wednesday. Please call me to confirm that you have received this request.

Regards.

[REDACTED]



**ENCLOSURE TRANSFERRED TO
FILE D/DAS/10/2/8/16 PART A**



From [REDACTED]
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB



Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) [REDACTED]
e-mail das-ufo-office@mod.uk [REDACTED]

[REDACTED]
Stoneleigh
Surrey
[REDACTED]

Your Reference

Our Reference
01-01-2005-122722-017
Date
31st January 2005

Dear [REDACTED]

I am writing concerning your freedom of information request for information about Unidentified Flying Objects (UFOs). Your request has been passed to this department as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence about UFOs.

First, it may be helpful if I explain that the MOD does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena. The MOD examines any reports of 'UFO' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external military source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the MOD to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

With regard to your particular request, I can inform you that the MOD does not generally investigate reports of UFO sightings. Reports provided to us are examined, but consultation with air defence staff and others as necessary is considered only where there is sufficient evidence to suggest a breach of UK air space. The vast majority of reports we receive are very sketchy and vague. Only a handful of reports in recent years have warranted further investigation and none revealed any evidence of a threat. All the reports and correspondence we receive is filed on paper files in the order in which it was received. No separate record is kept of any particular type of report. These records are not held electronically. As we currently have files which span a 25 year period, I hope you will appreciate that to supply copies of all of these records would exceed the £600 limit set for compliance with the Freedom of Information Act as provided by Section 12 of the Act and the Ministry of Defence is not therefore obliged to comply with your request. If however, you would like to be more specific or refine your request we may be able to assist you.

You also asked for the minutes of any internal departmental committees. There have been no "committees" within the MOD to discuss UFOs. In August 1950 a Working Party was set up to investigate flying saucer phenomenon. The report was presented to a meeting of the

Joint Technical Intelligence Committee who decided that “the document should be regarded as the final report and, in view of the conclusions the Working Party should be dissolved”. All of the documents of the Flying Saucer Working Party are now held in The National Archives, Kew, Richmond, Surrey, TW9 4DU. The National Archive online catalogue and details of how to access records can be found on their website at <http://www.nationalarchives.gov.uk>. In addition the final report of the Flying Saucer Working Party dated June 1951 can be viewed on the MOD Freedom of Information Publication Scheme at <http://www.foi.mod.uk>. Please search under “UFO” and then under “Report” to navigate to this document.

Finally, you asked for any reports made to Ministers or other Governments or international agencies on UFOs. We are not aware of any specific reports made to Ministers or other Governments about UFOs. However, it is normal practice for officials to brief incoming Ministers as to the background of parliamentary business and for example, when answering specific parliamentary questions. If this is the type of information you are seeking, again it would be helpful if you could clarify the information you are particularly searching for.

We are unaware of any records when other Governments or international agencies have sought to discuss UFO issues with the UK. However, our files indicate that in September 1978, at the 33rd Session of the United Nations General Assembly, the Grenadian delegation sought to establish a working group of the UN to evaluate UFO reports. The British delegation did not think that such an agency was appropriate to the function of the UN and did not support this proposal.

I hope this is helpful. If this information does not address your requirements or you wish to complain about any aspect of the handling of this request, then you should contact this department in the first instance. Should you remain dissatisfied, then you may apply for an internal review by contacting the Director of Information Exploitation, 6th Floor, MOD Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB.

If you are still unhappy following an internal review, you may take your complaint to the Information Commissioner under the provisions of Section 50 of the Freedom of Information Act. Please note that the Information Commissioner will not investigate your case until the MOD internal review process has been completed. Further details of the role and powers of the Information Commissioner can be found on the Commissioner's website, <http://www.informationcommissioner.gov.uk>.

Yours sincerely,

A solid black rectangular redaction box covering the signature area.

[REDACTED]

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 04 January 2005 12:30
To: [REDACTED]
Subject: FW: FOI INFORMATION REQUEST

Categories: FOI Request

[REDACTED] 0

The request below has been logged. You can find it in My Group's Unassigned Cases. Please accept it as discussed earlier on the phone.

04-01-2005-122722-017

Grateful if you could upload this email when you start processing it.

-----Original Message-----

From: feedback@www.mod.uk [mailto:feedback@www.mod.uk]
Sent: 01 January 2005 19:53
To: Info-Access-Office
Subject: FOI INFORMATION REQUEST

Below is the result of your feedback form. It was submitted on Saturday, January 1, 2005 at 19:52:54

FirstName: [REDACTED]

LastName: [REDACTED]

occupation: enter your occupation

Company: your company/organisation

Address1: [REDACTED]

Address2: [REDACTED]

City: Stoneleigh

State: Surrey

Zip: [REDACTED]

Country: United Kingdom

e-mail: [REDACTED]

telephone: enter your telephone number

preferred format: hardcopy

infosubject: Dear MOD Freedom of Information Officer

I am making this application under the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

I would like to receive from you the file containing the MOD's investigations into Unidentified Flying Objects (UFOs), including minutes of any internal departmental committees set up in the ministry or its predecessor departments, and any reports made to ministers or other Governments or international agencies on UFOs.

I am happy to correspond by e-mail or telephone, and would accept the information in electronic format, if available in that form originally. I look forward to hearing

*Don't investigate
No individual files
- FSWP
None since*

from you promptly and in any case within 20 working days, as required under the FOI Act.

sincerely

[REDACTED]
Stoneleigh
Surrey

[REDACTED]
submit: Send Form

(53)



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
MAIN BUILDING WHITEHALL LONDON SW1A 2HB

Telephone 01-218 [redacted] (Direct Dialling)

01-218 9000 (Switchboard)

PARLIAMENTARY UNDER-SECRETARY OF STATE
FOR DEFENCE FOR THE ROYAL AIR FORCE

AF/JW 562/77

20th December 1977

Dear [redacted]

I am replying to the letter which you sent to Lord [redacted] on 8th December with a letter from Mr [redacted] of [redacted] Lancing, Sussex on the subject of flying saucers.

I am afraid that I can give you no immediate guidance on the form any investigation into flying saucers might take as a result of the recent debate in the United Nations Organisation. All I can suggest is that your constituent should address his enquiries to the Organisation itself.

I am sorry I cannot be more helpful.

Yours Sincerely

[redacted signature block]

[redacted] MP
House of Commons
London
SW1A 0AA



50

APS/US of S(RAF)

1. The Foreign Office might have given us some indication when they transferred these papers of the line they have taken at the United Nations Organisation. You will recall from the press reports that a debate on unidentified flying objects has been held there recently at the request of the Prime Minister of Grenada. However, we have tracked down a Mr [REDACTED] in the Energy, Science and Space Department of FCO who tells us (and, I hope, will confirm in writing) that a UN resolution calling for an investigation into UFOs has been shelved indefinitely. The UK did not support the resolution, the view being that such an investigation would bring the UN into disrepute. Matters now rest, I believe, on the understanding that countries interested in a UFO investigation should pool their resources.

2. Mr [REDACTED] does not seem to be particularly interested in his constituent's request - I suggest a reply as attached.

12 December 1977


[REDACTED]
S4(Air)

DRAFT

LETTER FROM US of S(RAF) to RICHARD LUCE ESQ MP

1. I am replying to the letter which you sent to Lord [REDACTED] on 8 December with a letter from Mr [REDACTED] of [REDACTED] Lancing, Sussex on the subject of flying saucers.

2. I can give you no immediate guidance on the form any investigation into flying saucers might take as a result of the recent debate in the United Nations Organisation. All I can suggest is that your constituent should address his enquiries to the Organisation itself.



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
Main Building Whitehall London SW1A 2HB

48

Telephone 01-330-7022 ext 218

Your reference

[REDACTED]
Energy, Science & Space Dept.
Foreign & Commonwealth Office
Downing St (East)
London SW1A 2AI

Our reference

D/S4(Air)8/3

Date

16th December 1977

See ES 54-56

Dear Mr [REDACTED]

We spoke earlier today about the United Nations resolution concerning an investigation into Unidentified Flying Objects.

2. Since we need to advise our Minister on this subject in connection with a Parliamentary Enquiry, I should be very grateful if you would confirm that my understanding of the situation, as stated below, is correct:-

a. The resolution has been shelved indefinitely, and it was suggested that countries interested in co-ordinating investigations should pool their resources.

b. The UK did not support, nor wish to be associated with the resolution, it being considered that participation in such an investigation could bring the United Nations into disrepute.

Yours sincerely

[REDACTED]

Copy to Mr. [REDACTED] - FCO - Energy, Science &
Space Dept. - [REDACTED].

The UN resolution about investigating flying
saucers has been shelved indefinitely.

The UK did not support the resolution
and wanted nothing to do with it, it
being considered that the setting up of
such an investigation might bring disrepute
to the UN.

It was left at UN that countries interested
in co-ordinating investigations should pool
their resources.

[REDACTED] 16/12/77

United Nations Organisation

United Nations Building, New York

New York 10022.

UN GETS UFO MEN DOWN TO EARTH

UNITED Nations' diplomats found themselves lost for words in another world yesterday.

They had taken off on the first UN debate on unidentified flying objects. It was called by Sir Edward Gairy, premier of the Caribbean island of Grenada.

He insists that aliens regularly visit

his island, and proposed that 1978 ought to be the year of the UFO. Grenada's ambassador, Mr Wellington Friday said the UN should take an initiative.

But the debate ended in silence. The down-to-earth diplomats — who normally deal with wars or famine — were speechless.

Sec 30.1177

D. J. Leppell
3/11/77

45

A WORLD AGOG OVER UNO UFO's

By HENRY MILLER
in New York

WHILE some members dozed, others joked and still others did their best to conceal their incredulity, the United Nations Special Political Committee formally took up the question of unidentified flying objects.

They did so at the instigation of Sir Eric Gairy, Prime Minister of Grenada, who has been campaigning for two years to promote an official U.N. study on the issue.

He called it "the fulfilment of my very high expectation" and added: "The world is looking on."

Although the world is more likely to consider that the 149-nation body has more serious matters with which to occupy itself, the committee nonetheless agreed to devote more debate to the topic today.

Sir Eric, who intersperses religious thoughts into his musings on UFO's, opened the debate by saying: "A U.N. study will not infringe on the sovereignty of any nation. We have the backing of many scientists and I look forward with confidence to the support of the world's nations."

Carter interest

President Carter himself has said that he once saw a UFO and Sir Eric said he had been encouraged by the President's interest in the subject.

Telling reporters of his own experience 2½ years ago, Sir Eric said: "I saw a UFO as I was driving home at about two or three in the morning. It was a big object, a brilliant light, golden bright, moving at tremendous speed."

The Grenada delegation distributed to delegates free tickets to a new film, "Close Encounters of the Third Kind," which deals with UFO's.

UFOs INQUIRY CALL AT U.N.

D. J. Leppell
2/12/77

Grenada has withdrawn a resolution to set up a special United Nations agency or department to conduct research into unidentified flying objects and related phenomena.

Instead it is circulating at the United Nations a draft resolution called on the Secretary-General, Dr Waldheim, to conduct a wide-ranging investigation into flying saucers, including an analysis of the benefits, problems, and dangers stemming from any contact with extra-terrestrial life.—Reuter.



Foreign and Commonwealth Office

London S.W.1

REDACTION ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

44

From The Minister of State

[REDACTED]

9 December 1977


This is just to let you know that I have transferred your letter of 8 December, enclosing one from your constituent, Mr [REDACTED] [REDACTED] Lancing, Sussex, about flying saucers to the ministry of Defence. You will be hearing from the appropriate Minister there soon.

Mr [REDACTED] MP
House of Commons
London SW1A 0AA

43

From: [REDACTED] M.P.

AF/JWS62/77
Head of S4/Air
rec'd 13 Dec.



HOUSE OF COMMONS
LONDON SW1A 0AA

8th December, 1977.

[REDACTED]

Minister of State,
Foreign & Commonwealth Office,
Downing Street,
London, S.W.1.

Dear Lord [REDACTED]

I have received the enclosed
and I do not know whether you would care
to give me any advice on it.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]

(Dictated by Mr. [REDACTED] and signed in his
absence).

1. Acknowledged
2. Minister of State has/has not seen
3. Department for
advice and draft reply from

.....

REDACTION ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

(2)
[REDACTED]
Lancing,
Sussex.
24th November 1977.

Mr [REDACTED]
House of Commons,
Westminster,
London. SW1A 0AA.

Dear Sir,

Recent items in the press have indicated that the United Nations is to start an official probe into Flying Saucers. Although many people may consider such a project something of a waste of time I am personally glad that some steps are being taken to investigate this phenomena on a serious and official basis.

The main reason for my writing to you is that in my opinion not only should government bodies be involved in a project of this nature, but so should a team of ufologists who would obviously be used to the various problems involved.

Although I realise that no decisions may have been made regarding the form this project is to take I feel it essential that ufologists are involved in this venture. I should like your opinion on this matter and what you think the chances are of non government bodies and individuals being involved.

Yours Sincerely
[REDACTED]


MY TELNOS 2732 AND 2733 AND YOUR TELNO 1563. UFOS

REDACTION ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

1. THIS MORNING, THE SPC ADOPTED THE DECISION
REPORTED IN MY TELEGRAM UNDER REFERENCE, BY CONSENSUS.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]



MY IPT. UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS.

REDACTION ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

FOLLOWING IS DRAFT TEXT:

THE SPECIAL POLITICAL COMMITTEE RECOMMENDS TO THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY ADOPTION OF THE FOLLOWING CONSENSUS:

THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY HAS TAKEN NOTE OF THE STATEMENTS MADE, AND DRAFT RESOLUTION SUBMITTED BY GRENADA AT THE 32ND AND 33RD SESSIONS OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY REGARDING UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS AND RELATED PHENOMENA.

THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY INVITES INTERESTED MEMBER STATES TO TAKE APPROPRIATE STEPS TO CO-ORDINATE ON A NATIONAL LEVEL SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH AND INVESTIGATION INTO EXTRATERRESTRIAL LIFE, INCLUDING UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS, AND TO INFORM THE SECRETARY-GENERAL OF THE OBSERVATIONS, RESEARCH, AND EVALUATION OF SUCH ACTIVITIES.

THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY REQUEST THE SECRETARY-GENERAL TO TRANSMIT THE STATEMENTS OF THE DELEGATION OF GRENADA AND THE RELEVANT DOCUMENTATION TO THE COMMITTEE ON THE PEACEFUL USES OF OUTER SPACE, SO THAT THEY MAY CONSIDER THEM AT THEIR 1979 MEETING.

THE COMMITTEE ON THE PEACEFUL USES OF OUTER SPACE WILL PERMIT GRENADA, UPON ITS REQUEST, TO PRESENT THEIR VIEWS TO THE COMMITTEE AT ITS NEXT SESSION. THE COMMITTEE'S DELIBERATION WILL BE INCLUDED IN ITS REPORT WHICH WILL BE CONSIDERED BY THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY AT ITS 34TH SESSION.

YOUR TELNOS 1537 AND 1539, MY TELNO 2698 AND [REDACTED]
LETTER OF 4 DECEMBER TO [REDACTED] UN DEPARTMENT: UNIDENTIFIED
FLYING OBJECTS

[REDACTION ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT]

1. THE AUSTRIANS HAVE INFORMED US THAT THE GRENADANS AND THE RUSSIANS NOW ALSO HAVE AUTHORITY TO ACCEPT THE CONSENSUS TEXT (WHICH IS REPEATED IN MIFT IN CASE YOU STILL HAVE NOT RECEIVED LETTER UNDER REFERENCE). THE AMERICANS DO NOT EXPECT FURTHER INSTRUCTIONS FROM WASHINGTON AND ALSO INTEND TO GO ALONG WITH THE CONSENSUS. WE PROPOSE TO DO LIKEWISE. THE ISSUE IS DUE TO BE DECIDED IN THE SPECIAL POLITICAL COMMITTEE ON 8 DECEMBER.

2. ALTHOUGH THIS TEXT IS NOT IDEAL, IT HAS NO FINANCIAL OR MANPOWER IMPLICATIONS FOR THE UN, DOES NOT COMMIT THE UN TO ANY SPECIFIC ACTION ON UFO'S AND PRECLUDES THE INSCRIPTION OF A SEPARATE UFO ITEM ON NEXT YEAR'S AGENDA (THE SUBJECT WOULD BE SUBSUMED IN THE OUTER SPACE ITEM AS A PARAGRAPH IN THE REPORT OF THE OUTER SPACE COMMITTEE). IN ANY EVENT THERE IS NO REAL PROSPECT OF NEGOTIATING FURTHER AMENDMENTS.

[REDACTED]
FILES
[REDACTED]

RESTRICTED
UNCLASSIFIED

YOUR TELNOS 2539, 2566, 2567 AND [REDACTED] LETTER OF
21 NOVEMBER; UFOS

[REDACTION ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT]

1. WE REMAIN OPPOSED IN PRINCIPLE TO THE GRENADAN INITIATIVE FOR THE REASONS STATED IN THE BRIEF. IF THE RESOLUTION IN YOUR TELNO 2567 IS PUT FORWARD YOU SHOULD OPPOSE IT.
2. WE SHOULD, HOWEVER, BE WILLING TO HELP THE GRENADANS OFF THE HOOK IF IT CAN BE DONE WITHOUT ANY FINANCIAL AND MANPOWER IMPLICATIONS FOR GOVERNMENTS (OTHER THAN GRENADA) OR THE UN. WE COULD THEREFORE ABSTAIN ON A PROPOSAL TO ESTABLISH A GROUP OF EXPERTS OR A SPECIAL RAPPORTEUR, PROVIDED THAT AS WE ASSUME FROM YOUR TELEGRAM 2566 THE GROUP'S ACTIVITIES WOULD BE FINANCED BY THE GOVERNMENT OF GRENADA. IT WOULD ALSO HELP IF THE SUBJECT COULD BE PUT ON THE AGENDA OF THE 35TH SESSION: IN LINE WITH THE RECOMMENDATION IN THE SECRETARY-GENERAL'S ANNUAL REPORT THAT ITEMS SHOULD NOT AUTOMATICALLY GO ON THE AGENDA FROM SESSION TO SESSION.
3. YOU SHOULD ALSO KEEP IN MIND GRENADA'S ABSENCE (WHETHER PLANNED OR FORTUITOUS, WE DO NOT KNOW) FROM THE FOURTH COMMITTEE VOTE ON FOREIGN ECONOMIC INTERESTS. THE FRG MAY BE WELL PLACED TO SUGGEST TO THE DELEGATION OF GRENADA THAT SOME DELEGATIONS WOULD BE BETTER DISPOSED TO ACCEPT A PROPOSAL ON THE LINES OF PARA 2 ABOVE IF GRENADA WERE TO ABSTAIN IN THE PLENARY VOTE ON FOREIGN ECONOMIC INTERESTS.

UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS: ~~UN~~ INITIATIVE BY GRENADA

Problem

REDACTION ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

1. How to react to further proposals by Grenada, following up their 1977 initiative on UFOs.

Background

- A 2. At last year's General Assembly Premier Gairy of Grenada urged the United Nations to establish an agency or working group for research into unidentified flying objects. Mr [REDACTED] expressed the view that the proposal would bring the UN into disrepute. Accordingly, the UK delegation was instructed to oppose the draft Grenadan resolution. In the event, no vote was taken on the resolution; as a compromise, the General Assembly "studied the text" and requested the Secretary-General to transmit it to state members of the UN and to interested specialised agencies so that they might communicate their views to the Secretary-General.
- B 3. The Secretary-General's subsequent report contained only three replies from Governments, (India, Luxembourg and the Seychelles) and unforthcoming reactions from two specialised agencies.
- C 4. The Permanent Mission of Grenada circulated a letter to Permanent Missions in New York on 10 November, which was followed up by a statement to the Special Political Committee on 27 November by the Prime Minister of Grenada, who complicated matters by introducing a new resolution instead of the one previously circulated. Subsequent developments are reported in UKMIS telno 2566 of 27 November.
- D
- E
- F 5. The brief for this item is at flag F.

Argument

6. The Grenadan initiative continues to hold no attractions whatsoever for us. It is obvious, however, that some of our Western partners /including



(including the FRG Presidency and the United States) are making an effort to help Grenada off the hook if this can be done without cost to the UN. It must also be said, in fairness, that the Grenadan proposal is no more ridiculous than many other proposals before the UN. Indeed President Carter has in the past reportedly taken a personal interest in the subject of UFOs. The proposal is at least not positively harmful to UK interests, whereas taking too strong and open a stand against Mr Gairy could be. Moreover, if we can help the Grenadans to reach a compromise, it could conceivably help us to persuade them to abstain on the vote on foreign economic and other interests in plenary (the Fourth Committee Resolution which inter alia strongly condemns the UK and others for dealings with South Africa).

Recommendation

7. I recommend that we instruct the Mission to continue to resist the original Grenadan proposal but to give discreet support to efforts by Western or other countries to postpone or reduce the scope and cost of the Grenadan proposal as much as possible. I accordingly submit a draft telegram to UKMIS New York.

8. UND were consulted on UN aspects, and concur.

4 December 1978



NY TELNO 2539: AGENDA ITEM NO 128: UFOs REDACTION ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

1. THE TWO MEETINGS IN THE SPC ON THE ABOVE ITEM TODAY FOLLOWED THE SCENARIO OUTLINED IN MY TELEGRAM UNDER REFERENCE, EXCEPT THAT MR GAIRY COMPLICATED MATTERS BY INTRODUCING A NEW RESOLUTION IN HIS OPENING STATEMENT INSTEAD OF THE ONE PREVIOUSLY CIRCULATED TO MISSIONS (██████████) LETTER OF 21 NOVEMBER TO ██████████. THE NEW RESOLUTION ELABORATES ON THE IDEA IN THE INDIAN REPLY TO THE SECRETARY-GENERAL (A/33/269), PROPOSING INTER ALIA THAT THE SECRETARY-GENERAL SHOULD APPOINT A THREE-MEMBER GROUP OF EXPERTS MEETING UNDER THE AEGIS OF THE OUTER SPACE COMMITTEE AND REPORTING THROUGH THAT COMMITTEE TO THE 34TH SESSION. (TEXT IN MIFT).

2. THERE HAD BEEN NO PRIOR WARNING THAT THE GRENADANS INTENDED TABLING A NEW RESOLUTION TODAY AND MEMBERS OF THE OUTER SPACE COMMITTEE, PARTICULARLY THE AUSTRIAN CHAIRMAN WHO HAD NOT BEEN CONSULTED, WERE STRONGLY OPOSED TO THIS FURTHER ATTEMPT TO INVOLVE THE OUTER SPACE COMMITTEE IN THE SUBJECT OF UFOs. THE AUSTRIANS, AMONG OTHERS, PRIVATELY INTIMATED THEIR DISMAY TO THE GRENADAN MISSION, WHO BY THE END OF THE AFTERNOON MEETING GAVE SIGNS OF REGRETTING THEIR PRECIPITANCE. BEFORE THE CHAIRMAN CLOSED THE MEETING FRIDAY (MINISTER OF EDUCATION) INTERVENED TO SAY THAT THE GRENADANS WERE FLEXIBLE ABOUT THEIR DRAFT RESOLUTION AND INVITED DELEGATIONS TO CONVEY ANY SUGGESTIONS THEY MIGHT HAVE TO THE GRENADAN MISSION PENDING RESUMPTION OF DISCUSSION OF THE ITEM AT A LATER DATE.

UNCLASSIFIED

13. THIS WITH

REF ID: A66000

3. THIS WILL ALLOW TIME TO WORK OUT AN ALTERNATIVE PROPOSAL. THE GERMANS, WITH AUSTRIAN BACKING, FAVOUR A GROUP OF EXPERTS TO BE APPOINTED BY THE GOVERNMENT OF GRENADA, WHICH MIGHT IN DUE COURSE REPORT ITS FINDINGS TO THE SECRETARY-GENERAL. THE US MISSION, WHEN MR GAIRY CALLED ON THEM ON 24 NOVEMBER, FLOATED THE IDEA OF A SPECIAL RAPPORTEUR (TO BE FINANCED BY THE GOVERNMENT OF GRENADA) WHO WOULD STUDY THE PROBLEM AND REPORT BACK TO THE SECRETARY-GENERAL. THE RUSSIANS WOULD LIKE TO SEE A REPETITION OF THE PROCEDURE ADOPTED LAST YEAR: IE TO DEFER THE ITEM WITH SOME FACE-SAVING PROPOSAL FOR FURTHER COMMENTS BY INTERESTED PARTIES, OR A FURTHER STUDY. THIS LAST WOULD BE THE BEST SOLUTION, BUT GAIRY IS SAYING THAT HE WILL NOT BE PUT OFF AGAIN THIS YEAR.

4. WE ASSUME THAT YOU WOULD NOT WISH THIS SUBJECT TO BE LANDED IN THE LAP OF THE OUTER SPACE COMMITTEE. IT WOULD BE HELPFUL, IN THE NEGOTIATIONS WHICH ARE BOUND TO TAKE PLACE DURING THE NEXT FEW DAYS, TO HAVE AN INDICATION AS TO WHETHER YOU WOULD INCLINE TO AN ABSTENTION OR A VOTE AGAINST IN RESPECTABLE COMPANY ON THE RESOLUTION IN MY I.F.T. WE ASSUME, ALSO, THAT YOU WOULD WISH US TO WORK FOR ALTERNATIVES ON THE LINES DESCRIBED IN PARAGRAPH 3 ABOVE. IT WOULD BE HELPFUL TO HAVE ANY COMMENTS AS SOON AS POSSIBLE.

[REDACTED]

FILES

[REDACTED]

MIPT: AGENDA ITEM NO 128: UFO'S

GRENADA: DRAFT RESOLUTION
THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY

REDACTION ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

MINDFUL OF ITS COMMITMENT TO PROMOTE INTERNATIONAL CO-OPERATION IN SOLVING INTERNATIONAL PROBLEMS,
NOTING THE STATEMENTS MADE BY GRENADA AT THE THIRTIETH, THIRTY-FIRST, THIRTY-SECOND AND THIRTY-THIRD SESSIONS OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY REGARD UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS AND RELATED PHENOMENA WHICH CONTINUE TO BAFFLE MANKIND, AND GRENADA'S APPEAL TO HAVE THE UNITED NATIONS CONDUCT AND CO-ORDINATE RESEARCH INTO THESE BAFFLING PHENOMENA, AND TO DISSEMINATE MORE WIDELY AMONG THE NATIONS OF THE WORLD INFORMATION AND OTHER DATA GATHERED AND AVAILABLE ON THE PHENOMENA,

AWARE OF THE GROWING INTEREST TAKEN BY PEOPLE OF THE WORLD IN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS AND RELATED PHENOMENA, AND ALSO INTEREST IN STRANGE HAPPENINGS IN VARIOUS PARTS OF THE WORLD, AND RECOGNISING THE COMMITMENT TO RESEARCH INTO THESE PHENOMENA DEMONSTRATED BY CERTAIN NATIONAL GOVERNMENTS, INDIVIDUAL SCIENTISTS, RESEARCHERS AND EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS,

1. RECOMMENDS THAT, IN CONSULTATION WITH THE APPROPRIATE SPECIALISED AGENCIES, THE UNITED NATIONS INITIATE, CONDUCT AND CO-ORDINATE RESEARCH INTO THE NATURE AND ORIGIN OF UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS AND RELATED PHENOMENA:

2. REQUESTS THE SECRETARY-GENERAL TO INVITE MEMBER STATES, SPECIALISED AGENCIES AND NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANISATIONS TO TRANSMIT TO HIM BY 31 MAY 1979 INFORMATION AND PROPOSALS WHICH WOULD FACILITATE THE PROPOSED STUDY;

UNCLASSIFIED

13. FURTHER

UNCLASSIFIED

REDACTION ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

- FURTHER REQUESTS THE SECRETARY-GENERAL TO APPOINT AT THE EARLIEST POSSIBLE DATE A THREE-MEMBER GROUP OF EXPERTS UNDER THE AEGIS OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE PEACEFUL USES OF OUTER SPACE, FOR THE PURPOSE OF DEFINING GUIDELINES FOR THE PROPOSED STUDY:
4. DECIDES THAT THE GROUP OF EXPERTS MEET DURING THE SESSIONS OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE PEACEFUL USES OF OUTER SPACE TO STUDY INFORMATION AND PROPOSALS SUBMITTED TO THE SECRETARY-GENERAL BY MEMBER STATES, SPECIALISED AGENCIES AND NON-GOVERNMENTAL ORGANISATIONS;
 5. FURTHER DECIDES THAT THE GROUP OF EXPERTS REPORT ON ITS WORK THROUGH THE COMMITTEE ON THE PEACEFUL USES OF OUTER SPACE TO THE THIRTY-FOURTH SESSION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY;
 6. DECIDES FURTHER TO INCLUDE IN THE PROVISIONAL AGENDA OF THE THIRTY-FOURTH SESSION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY AN ITEM ENTITLED "REPORT OF THE GROUP OF EXPERTS OF THE COMMITTEE ON THE PEACEFUL USES OF OUTER SPACE FOR THE DEFINING OF GUIDELINES FOR THE STUDY OF UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS AND RELATED PHENOMENA".

[REDACTED]

FILES

[REDACTED]

UNCLASSIFIED

AGENDA ITEM NO 128: UFO'S

LETTER OF 21 NOVEMBER TO

REDACTION ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

1. THIS ITEM IS NOW SCHEDULED TO START ON MONDAY 27 NOVEMBER IN THE SPC. IT IS EXPECTED THAT THE FIRST MEETING WILL OPEN WITH A STATEMENT BY MR GAIRY, THE PRIME MINISTER OF GRENADA, (WHO IS COMING TO NEW YORK ESPECIALLY FOR THE OCCASION) AND WILL BE FOLLOWED BY STATEMENTS BY SEVERAL EXPERTS ON UFO'S WHO ARE ACCOMPANYING MR GAIRY, AND BY A WALT DISNEY FILM WHICH MR GAIRY HAS ALSO LAID ON. THE COMMITTEE WILL THEN MOVE ON TO ANOTHER ITEM TO ALLOW TIME FOR CONSULTATIONS.

2. THE IDEA IS TO LET MR GAIRY HAVE HIS DAY, WAITING UNTIL HE HAS LEFT NEW YORK BEFORE ATTEMPTING TO NEGOTIATE A COMPROMISE WITH THE GRENADA MISSION WHICH WILL IDEALLY REQUIRE MINIMAL, OR EVEN NO, UN INVOLVEMENT AND NO ADDITIONAL UN EXPENDITURE. VARIOUS IDEAS ARE BEGINNING TO BE DISCUSSED IN THE CORRIDORS. WE MAY BE ABLE TO REPORT AFTER MONDAYS MEETING WHICH LOOK WORTH CONSIDERING.

FILES

UNCLASSIFIED

AGENDA ITEM NO. 128: UPOs [REDACTION ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT]

1. The attached letter which has been circulated to Missions by the Permanent Mission of Grenada is self-explanatory. In their preliminary soundings this year, it would seem that the Mission of Grenada has found little if any support for its more ambitious proposal for establishing an agency, or department, and is therefore falling back on a more modest proposal.

2. I assume that you would wish us if possible to discourage this too, provided we are in good company and do not take the lead. It is possible however that it will acquire support as a fallback position which the majority will be prepared to go along with to save Grenada's face. We will keep in close touch with our allies.



PERMANENT MISSION OF GRENADA TO THE UNITED NATIONS

868 SECOND AVENUE, SUITE 502, NEW YORK, N.Y. 10017

TELEPHONE: (212) 759-9678

CABLE: "GRENQUM" NEWYORK

OUR REF:

The Permanent Mission of Grenada to the United Nations presents its compliments to the Permanent Missions of Member States to the United Nations and with reference to the Permanent Representative of Grenada's letter of August 29, 1978 has the honour to remind that Grenada's item on the subject of Unidentified Flying Objects and extra-terrestrial phenomenon will come up for discussion in the Special Political Committee this week beginning November 27th.

The Permanent Mission of Grenada wishes to bring to the attention of Member States that, in the interest of not foisting upon the United Nations, at this point in time, the setting up of a Department or Agency which would involve heavy financial costs, the Government of Grenada is proposing that the contemplated study and research be placed in the hands of a small committee or ad hoc group of experts, whose task will be to submit a preliminary report on a possible framework for the study and research into the baffling phenomenon.

It is the hope of the Government of Grenada that this proposal and a draft resolution formulated along these lines will receive the support of Member States at the United Nations at this 33rd Regular Session of the General Assembly.

The Permanent Mission of Grenada further has the honour to attach the general outline of the draft resolution contemplated.

The Permanent Mission of Grenada to the United Nations avails itself of this opportunity to renew to the Permanent Missions of Member States to the United Nations the assurances of its highest consideration.

New York, November 10, 1978

Permanent Mission of Member States
to the UNITED NATIONS
NEW YORK



PRELIMINARY
DRAFT RESOLUTION

The General Assembly,

Mindful of its commitment to promote international co-operation in solving international problems,

Aware of the growing interest taken by people of the world in Unidentified Flying Objects and related Phenomena which continue to baffle mankind, and in strange happenings in various parts of the world.....

Noting the statement made by the Prime Minister of Grenada at the 30th, 31st, 32nd, and 33rd Session of the General Assembly regarding these baffling phenomena, and his appeal to have the United Nations conduct and co-ordinate research into Unidentified Flying Objects and related phenomena and to disseminate more widely among the Nations of the world information and other data gathered.

Recognising the commitment to research into these phenomena demonstrated by individual scientists, researchers, educational institutions and National Governments.

1. Recommends that there be initiated a special official United Nations study on the nature and relationship of Unidentified Flying Objects and extra-terrestrial phenomena.
2. Requests The Secretary-General to appoint at the earliest possible date an "ad hoc group of experts" of not more than seven (7) scientific experts drawn from within the United Nations Secretariat and from private research organisations with the task of elaborating a possible framework for the above-mentioned study.
3. Further requests the Secretary-General to make available to the ad hoc group of experts all the relevant material, including proposals made by Member States as well as previous and current studies available on the subject.
4. Further requests the Committee to submit a preliminary report on its work by June 1, 1979.
5. Further requests the Secretary-General to transmit the preliminary report of the ad hoc group of experts to Member States not later than July 30th, 1979.
6. Decides to include in the provisional agenda of the Thirty Fourth Session of the General Assembly the item entitled "Report of the ad hoc group of experts" to investigate the nature and relationship of Unidentified Flying Objects and extra-terrestrial phenomena.
1. Decides further that a comprehensive report of the committee will be tabled at the 35th Regular Session of the General Assembly.

RESTRICTED

UNCLASSIFIED

REDACTION ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

IOC (78) 100

15 SEPTEMBER 1978

157 90

33RD SESSION OF THE UNITED NATIONS
GENERAL ASSEMBLY

AGENDA ITEM NO 128

ESTABLISHMENT OF AN AGENCY OR A DEPARTMENT OF THE UNITED NATIONS FOR UNDERTAKING, CO-ORDINATING AND DISSEMINATING THE RESULTS OF RESEARCH INTO UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS AND RELATED PHENOMENA (ITEM PROPOSED BY GRENADA (S/33/131)).



The attached brief has been prepared by the Energy Science and Space Department in the Foreign and Commonwealth Office in consultation with Department of Industry and the Home Office. Any comments should be addressed to D A Lloyd in Energy Science and Space Department, Foreign and Commonwealth Office, Tel 233 3209.

UNCLASSIFIED

RESTRICTED

A UK AIMS

To oppose the establishment of any such agency.

B POINTS TO MAKE

2. The British delegation does not think that the establishment of an agency for research into unidentified flying objects is appropriate to the functions of the United Nations. It considers that the existence of such an agency under UN auspices would be an unjustifiable drain on UN resources which could be better deployed elsewhere.

C TACTICS

3. Hopefully a confrontation with the representative of Grenada can be avoided, but the UK should not hesitate to make its views known as and when appropriate. You should seek whatever support you can particularly among the Nine.

BACKGROUND

4. At last year's UN General Assembly, the Grenadan delegation, with the full support of Premier Gairy, sought to establish an ad hoc working group of the UN to evaluate UFO reports. FCO Ministers expressed the view that to set up any such body would reduce the credibility of the UN; accordingly the UK delegation was instructed to oppose. In the event, no vote was taken on the Grenadan Resolution; as a compromise, the General Assembly "studied the text" and requested the Secretary-General to transmit it "to states members of the UN and to interested specialised agencies so that they might communicate their views to the Secretary-General".

NY TELNO 1205: UFO (7)

REDACTION ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

MINISTERS HAVE EXPRESSED THE VIEW THAT, SINCE AGREEMENT TO SET UP SUCH AN AGENCY WOULD REDUCE THE CREDIBILITY OF THE UN, WE SHOULD OPPOSE IT. YOU SHOULD BE GUIDED ACCORDINGLY SEEKING WHATEVER SUPPORT YOU CAN, PARTICULARLY AMONG THE NINE.

FILES

UNCLASSIFIED

YOUR TELNOS. 2241 AND 2242 AND TELECON [REDACTED]

UFO ITEM.

REDACTION ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

1. WE REMAIN FIRMLY OPPOSED TO A GRENADA RESOLUTION ON UFOS. WE DO NOT CONSIDER SUCH OPPOSITION LIKELY TO BE DAMAGING EITHER TO THE UK OR TO THE UN. YOU SHOULD, THEREFORE, MAKE NO ATTEMPT TO CONCEAL THE UK'S INTENTION OF VOTING AGAINST ANY RESOLUTION CONTAINING AN OPERATIONAL OUTCOME, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER OR NOT THIS LEAVES US IN A MINORITY.
2. IF THE GRENADANS CAN BE PERSUADED TO ABANDON THEIR RESOLUTION WE THINK THAT REFERRAL OF THEIR UFO INTEREST TO THE COMMITTEE ON OUTER SPACE WOULD PROVIDE THE BEST FACE SAVING DEVICE. THIS COMMITTEE IS AFTER ALL THE ESTABLISHED UN BODY DEALING WITH OUTER SPACE MATTERS. WE UNDERSTAND THE AUSTRIAN CHAIRMAN'S ANXIETIES BUT THINK THAT IN VIEW OF THE COMMITTEE'S EXISTING WORK LOAD, THIS ITSELF SHOULD BE A REASONABLE GUARANTEE THAT THE UFO ISSUE WOULD BE PLACED AT THE BOTTOM OF ITS AGENDA WITH A FAIR CHANCE OF BEING IGNORED INDEFINITELY.
3. WE ARE LESS ATTRACTED TO THE SUGGESTION IN PARAGRAPH 6 OF TELNO. [REDACTED] 49 IT HAS THE DISADVANTAGE THAT ONCE THE UFO ISSUE WAS INSCRIBED AS AN AGENDA ITEM FOR THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY IT WOULD BE THERE IN BLACK AND WHITE AND WE COULD NOT REPEAT NOT BE CERTAIN THAT CONTINUING PRESSURE FROM GRENADA, PERHAPS WITH THE SUPPORT OF OTHER DELEGATIONS, WOULD NOT LEAD TO ITS DISCUSSION EITHER AT THE 33RD OR 34TH SESSION OF THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY.

[REDACTED]

FILES

[REDACTED]

REDACTION ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

United Nations
**GENERAL
ASSEMBLY**
THIRTY-THIRD SESSION
Official Records*



69
SPECIAL POLITICAL COMMITTEE
36th meeting
held on
Monday, 27 November 1978
at 3 p.m.
New York

SUMMARY RECORD OF THE 36th MEETING

Chairman: [REDACTED] (Costa Rica)

CONTENTS

AGENDA ITEM 126: ESTABLISHMENT OF AN AGENCY OR A DEPARTMENT OF THE UNITED NATIONS FOR UNDERTAKING, CO-ORDINATING AND DISSEMINATING THE RESULTS OF RESEARCH INTO UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS AND RELATED PHENOMENA (continued)

* This record is subject to correction. Corrections should be incorporated in a copy of the record and should be sent *within one week of the date of publication* to the Chief, Official Records Editing Section, room A-3550.

Corrections will be issued shortly after the end of the session, in a separate fascicle for each Committee.

78-58753

Distr. GENERAL
A/SPC/33/SR.36
29 November 1978

ORIGINAL: ENGLISH

/...

REDACTION ON ORIGINAL DOCUMENT

The meeting was called to order at 3.45 p.m.

AGENDA ITEM 126: ESTABLISHMENT OF AN AGENCY OR A DEPARTMENT OF THE UNITED NATIONS FOR UNDERTAKING, CO-ORDINATING AND DISSEMINATING THE RESULTS OF RESEARCH INTO UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECTS AND RELATED PHENOMENA (continued) (A/33/141, A/33/268; A/SPC/33/L.20)

1. [REDACTED] (Grenada)* read out a letter from [REDACTED], a former astronaut, expressing the belief that unidentified flying objects were extraterrestrial vehicles whose crews were visiting Earth from more technically advanced planets. [REDACTED] had himself spent two days in 1951 observing UFOs of different sizes flying in fighter formation, generally from east to west over Europe. A top-level co-ordinated programme was needed to collect and analyse data from all over the world concerning all types of encounters and to determine how best to make friendly contact with such visitors. Man might have to prove to the visitors that he had learned to solve his problems by peaceful means rather than by warfare before he could be accepted as a fully qualified universal team member. Such acceptance would have tremendous possibilities for the advancement of the world in all areas, and it would certainly seem that the United Nations had an interest in handling the subject properly and expeditiously. If the United Nations agreed to pursue the project, many more well-qualified people might agree to provide help and information.
2. [REDACTED] (Grenada)* said it was important to remember that men throughout the world had something in common: they were all earthlings, a fact which tended to be forgotten when man's many differences were aired. After 20 years of study, he believed that there was overwhelming evidence to suggest that the planet was being visited by intelligently controlled extraterrestrial vehicles. The evidence took the form of eye-witness testimony from responsible persons, physical trace cases producing changes in the environment observable long after the UFO had left, radar visual sightings, photographs and abductions, apparently by aliens of earthlings and the subsequent return of the earthlings, although there was no knowledge of cases where earthlings had not been returned.
3. Every large-scale scientific study of UFOs had provided a substantial number of cases in which the observations clearly indicated that the UFO was a manufactured object behaving in ways which could not be duplicated by manufactured objects on Earth. The ability of UFOs to move and manoeuvre at thousands of miles an hour, without any visible external engine, wings or tail, indicated that the spacecraft had been manufactured by someone other than man. Many people wished to know why UFOs should visit Earth and what was happening on Earth that could be of interest to an advanced civilization. Probably there were many things of interest to someone concerned with the development of a primitive society. However, one thing was guaranteed to be of interest to another civilization and that was the fact that within one hundred years men would be going to the stars. He himself, as a nuclear physicist, had worked on fission and fusion propulsion systems which would be capable of transporting man to nearby star systems within reasonable periods of time, and it would be obvious to any alien in the neighbourhood that man would be doing so within a hundred years. Since the end of the Second World War, with the development

* The full text of this statement will appear in document A/SPC/33/PV.36.

([REDACTED] Grenada)

of nuclear weapons, rockets and electronics, man had become of interest to other, advanced civilizations. Naturally, such civilizations would be concerned with their own survival and security and would therefore wish to be informed of the activities of another civilization which was just beginning space travel; it was therefore clear that the UFOs were obtaining data for their own purposes, acting as a kind of galactic federation intelligence agency.


4. People also wondered why UFOs did not make contact. He suggested that there was no one leader with whom such alien beings could make contact. Whereas the smallest reasonable political unit on a galactic neighbourhood basis was a planet or a solar system, from the alien viewpoint man's was a primitive society whose major activity was tribal warfare; it would make no more sense for alien beings to speak to individual countries than for the United Nations to address individual cities.

5. Some people were worried at the significance of flying saucers and wondered why, in the light of the importance of questions of peace, survival and hunger, people should concern themselves with such matters. He suggested that man's very survival might depend on his taking an earthling orientation. The easiest way of doing so was for him to try to see himself as others saw him. From up above, coming into the planet Earth, there were no boundary lines. Acceptance of oneself as an earthling would become natural when it was recognized that the planet Earth was being visited.

6. There were also practical aspects such as the significance of man's acquiring motive propulsion which would enable him to travel and to transport goods from place to place without using the traditional means of transport and without expending valuable resources which were normally burned and thrown away. It was clear that aliens had solved problems such as the development of new and better means of producing energy. In addition, the Earth might well have some resources which could be exported to alien civilizations; as the densest planet in the solar system, the Earth had more valuable heavy metals than any other planet in the neighbourhood and might also have plant life and other materials which would be of interest to aliens.

7. Modern astronomy frequently assumed, in relation to the possibility of contact with extraterrestrial intelligences, that all other solar systems were isolated in the same manner as our own, in which the nearest star to the sun was four light years away. However, within our own galactic neighbourhood there were approximately 1,000 stars, of which 46 were similar to the sun and might well be expected to have planets and life; some of those planets would be much older than Earth. In addition, research into UFOs had revealed the existence of two sun-like stars, Zeta I Reticulae and Zeta II Reticulae, which were separated by only three light weeks; such close proximity would suggest that interstellar travel and communication might have been developed much earlier than on our isolated planet. Three recent professional publications had expressed the view that our entire galaxy had probably already been colonized. Perhaps the most important thing to recognize was that the next generation would grow up in a drastically different situation; unless something was done to prevent it, another dozen countries would soon have nuclear weapons and the world would be further divided into groups instead of becoming the earthling civilization which was necessary for its survival.

/...



8. [REDACTED] (Grenada) invited members of the Committee to make suggestions and recommendations concerning the draft resolution submitted by his delegation (A/SPC/33/L.20); his delegation was very flexible as to the actual mechanism to be decided on by the Committee for monitoring and co-ordinating research into UFOs and would be interested to hear the ideas of other delegations on the matter. He assumed that the vote on the resolution would take place at a later date.
9. The CHAIRMAN said that the substantive consideration of and vote on the resolution would be deferred until a later meeting.
10. [REDACTED] (Liberia) requested that the statements made by the members of the delegation of Grenada should be reproduced in extenso in the records so that delegates could study them more closely.
11. The CHAIRMAN recalled that the General Assembly, at the 4th plenary meeting of its current session, had decided to maintain the option that had traditionally been approved for the Special Political Committee to obtain transcriptions of debates of some of its meetings, or portions thereof.
12. [REDACTED] (United Kingdom) asked what the financial implications of such action would be.
13. The CHAIRMAN said that such expenditure as might be incurred by the provision of transcriptions of the Committee's debates was considered to be part of the normal work of the General Assembly; there were no direct financial implications. If he heard no objection, he would take it that the Committee approved the request made by the representative of Liberia.
14. It was so decided.

The meeting rose at 4.15 p.m.

[REDACTED]

We spoke, hope the
enclosed is useful.

Regards,

[REDACTED]

DI BCR CG3

[REDACTED]

LOOSE MINUTE

D/DI55/108/15

06 Jan 2005

DI BCR CG3

Copy to
DI ST-AM DD
DI BCR-CG AD
DI ST-54a1

Copy to: [REDACTED]

DAS-FOI



FREEDOM OF INFORMATION REQUEST – 03/05 ‘Details of MoD’s UFO investigations, files, reports and committee minutes’

Reference:

A. ChoTs email from DAS-FOI [REDACTED] dated 4 Jan 05 4:54:32PM

1. DAS, the lead branch, have asked if there is any data in our files relevant to the request that is not duplicated their files. Within DI ST the files relating to this matter are the D/DI55/108/15 series, which cover policy (108/15) and sighting reports (108/15/1 and 108/15/2). Due to the contamination of DIS archive only the policy reports, parts 4 to 8, covering the period from Dec 1971 to the present, and one sighting report file, D/DI55/108/15/2, relating to the Cosford sightings on 31 Mar 93 and copied to DAS in Apr 04, were available and formed the extent of my search.

2. [REDACTED] is correct in her note that we do not ‘investigate’ sightings of unexplained aerial phenomena and to a large extent the DIS files only contain copies of correspondence generated elsewhere. The compilation of sighting reports and limited analysis in file 108/15/2 were largely the result of the personal enthusiasm of Sec(AS)2a desk officer at the time and is by no means typical as far as I can see from the files examined. The only other ‘investigation’ I have found is the work done in preparation for a House of Lords motion put down by Earl of Clancarty in Nov 1978. The LM (D/DD Ops(GE)/10/82 dated 12 Jun 78) by Grp Capt N. Colvin has an Annex A titled ‘Investigation of Aerial Phenomena reports 16 April’ which shows the steps taken to investigate the cause of the time coincident sightings. As the LM was addressed S4(Air), I presume [REDACTED] has a copy. I attach a copy from the file I hold (108/15 part 4). Note that the sighting reports contain personal data.

3. The same file has a background briefing note, (D/S4(Air)/8/3 dated 17 May 78 by T Stevens, Head of S4(Air)), prepared for the above debate, which summarises the US attitude to the subject and list the results of US investigations into UFO sightings between 1947 and 1969. The USAF investigation was known as Project Blue Book and this was followed by a study led by Dr E. Condon. This LM could be released to put the historical

data in the public domain, but as it is not a DIS document I expect we will need advice from elsewhere

4. If you require any other information please let me know. Attached are the 2 LM referred to above.

[REDACTED]
DI ST-AM ALM AD
[REDACTED]

Sign with Fossie / quickly
*No desperate reply, but AD will want to have your
 brief on para 3 by 1 June at the latest please.*

LOOSE MINUTE

D/S4(Air)/8/3

PS/CS(RAF)
 DD Ops (GE)(RAF)
ADI 55

UFOS - DEBATE IN THE HOUSE OF LORDS

There is to be a debate on UFOs in the House of Lords on 12 June based on the following PQ by the Earl of Clancarty, a prominent ufologist:

'To ask Her Majesty's Government whether they are aware of the increasing number of reports of sightings and landings of unidentified flying objects (UFOs) on a worldwide scale, and whether they will initiate an inter-governmental study of UFOs'.

2. S4(Air) is required to provide a brief and draft winding-up speech for Lord Winterbottom. I attach a copy of a proposed line, which I have put to the Department of Education and Science (and to FCC, who were recently involved in brushing off an attempt to get UNO to discuss UFOs). You will see that I propose that the Government should take this opportunity to pour a mass of cold water on ufology. I would be grateful for:

- a. Your advice on the proposed line of action.
- b. Additional arguments or evidence that we might offer.

3. I would be grateful if DD Ops(GE)(RAF) and ADI 55 would say whether we could comment more fully about evidence of extra-terrestrial activity from the reports we receive - ie expand more forcefully on para 4f in the attached letter: '.... perusal of reports received gives us no cause to suspect extra-terrestrial activity'. I would also like to know how much work is done on these reports, and whether there has been any attempt to consider them as a whole.

4. The Chief Scientist's department is at a disadvantage, not seeing the flow of UFO reports that arrive in AFD. However, with MOD taking the lead in refuting UFOs, the inference will be drawn that CS(RAF) endorses that line. Putting the matter differently, I imagine that had CS(RAF) thought, as a scientist, that there was something in UFOs, he would have been quick to draw the matter to the department's attention or to have sought immediate access to the UFO reports. As he has not done so we can assume that he is unimpressed with UFOs. But I would be particularly grateful for any advice from CS(RAF)'s department.



T M P STEVENS
 Head S4(Air)
 NR 8245 7048 MB

17 May 1978

FROM: MR T M P STEVENS, Head of S4(Air)



MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
Main Building, Whitehall, LONDON S.W.1
Telephone: ~~01-930-7022, ext.~~ 01-218-7048

Please address any reply to
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE.
(Head of S4(Air))

and quote:

Your reference: D/S4(Air)/8/3

17 May 1978

Mr K E G Barber
Room 5/56
Department of Education and Science
Elizabeth House
York Road
LONDON
SE1 7PH

Dear Mr Barber,

There is to be a debate on UFOs in the House of Lords on 12 June '78 based on the following PQ by the Earl of Clancarty:

'To ask Her Majesty's Government whether they are aware of the increasing number of reports of sightings and landings of unidentified flying objects (UFOs) on a worldwide scale, and whether they will initiate an inter-governmental study of UFOs'.

2. The procedure will be similar to an Adjournment Debate in the Commons. The Earl will introduce the subject, interested members will speak, and Lord Winterbottom will reply for the Government. The debate could last anything from 20 minutes to 4 hours: we have been invited to draft a 10-15 minute winding up speech.

3. We do not take this lightly, because Lord Clancarty is an acknowledged expert on UFOs, whilst MOD has no experts on UFOs - for much the same reasons as we have no experts on levitation or black magic. There is much public interest in UFOs at present, drummed up by the Daily Express and in connection with the heavy sci-fi film 'Close Encounters of the Third Kind'. There is a risk that the Government will be persuaded to conduct a study of UFOs, or at least to examine the mass of evidence that Lord Clancarty and his fellow-ufologists have assembled in the last 30 years. Should the Government's defences break, I need hardly warn you that responsibility for the study could very likely fall on your Department! Accordingly we would be grateful for your help in determining the proper line for Government to take.

4. We believe it would be unprofitable to indulge in too much flippancy, and intend to propose that the Government injects a dose of massive common sense into the debate on UFOs. The general line we have in mind is:

- a. We do not discount the possibility of intelligent life elsewhere among the huge number of other worlds and other galaxies.
- b. The technical difficulties of space travel are stunning, with the nearest star 4 light years away, but it would be unwise to say 'impossible ever'.
- c. The phenomena lumped together as UFOs' are often reported by responsible and intelligent people.
- d. But there is a huge gap between those three statements and the assumption that 'UFO reports' constitute serious evidence that extra-terrestrial beings are reconnoitring this planet (Clancarty reports 80,000 verified sightings, the introduction to the book of 'Close Encounters' refers to 'millions!').
- e. The phenomena reported often have common-sense explanations (eg aircraft or met balloons in unusual light, or space debris): it is always difficult to interpret accurately unusual events seen fleetingly; and the constant publicity about UFOs leads people to interpret the phenomena as extra-terrestrial spacecraft and to embellish their reports unconsciously.
- f. Extensive US studies indicate no evidence of extra-terrestrial visits. No studies have been considered necessary in UK, but perusal of reports received gives us no cause to suspect extra-terrestrial activity.
- g. If there was serious scientific evidence of extra-terrestrial visits we would expect the scientific world to be agog and committed; but the scientific world seems at best unimpressed, more likely heavily cynical.
- h. There is no internal consistency about the idea of there having been 80,000 or perhaps millions of genuine sightings. We would expect some accidents or artefacts (Clancarty has suggested that the CIA has collected and hidden them all); or some approach to or direct evidence held by Governments (Clancarty has suggested there is a conspiracy of silence between Governments until they know how to cope); or some overt political or cultural activity to show for 30 years of presumably high-technology reconnaissance (Clancarty's own UFO references go back to the Star of Bethlehem and earlier).

5. We would plan to lead up to the argument that it is pointless to try to persuade the Government unless and until the scientific community has been persuaded. We could offer the suggestion that the scientific community is most unlikely to be persuaded by mere quantity of reported sightings (as one newspaper recently remarked, 80,000 times nothing still equals nothing); a more profitable course would be for Clancarty, being so certain about his case, to present say 10 well-documented readily-verifiable recent cases in this country on which he would state his professional reputation as a ufologist. It is doubtful whether the 'scientific community' would welcome our directing Clancarty towards them, but this is surely the proper course for a layman who believes he has evidence of a matter of massive scientific concern upon which he cannot convince the Government.

6. I would be grateful for your advice on the above proposed line of action; and, if you agree it, advice on any other arguments that could be advanced. Attached is a first draft of a background note describing the past attitudes of MOD, US, France and Grenada.

7. Because of the deadline for briefing Ministers and the intrusion of the Spring Bank holiday, I am afraid I must ask for your advice by pm Wednesday 24 May.

8. I am copying this letter to Mr R Alston, Energy, Science and Space Department, Room E022, FCO; I have noted the robust line taken by FCO recently with UNO proposals to study UFOs.

Yours Sincerely
Patrick Stevens

The Earl of Clancarty

1. We understand from the Press that Lord Clancarty is a staunch believer in UFOs. He is reported to have seen two and he has written seven books on the subject having studied the phenomena for 30 years. He has asserted that a former French Minister of Defence admitted that UFOs exist, that there are serious problems and that many landings have taken place. When asked to comment on a report that a UFO had followed a young housewife home Lord Clancarty told the Evening News that the lights may have been those of a space craft sent to spy on us by an unknown civilisation from another planet. A lot of UFOs, he said, seem to disguise themselves in clouds.

The American Attitude to UFOs

2. There has been no British scientific enquiry into the possible existence of UFOs. However, the Ministry of Defence has kept in touch with the United States Air Force who made a systematic attempt to record and investigate UFO sightings between 1947 and 1969. During this period the USAF received 12,618 reports: of these 701 were not explained.

3. This USAF investigation known as Project Blue Book was followed by a study which was originally suggested a member of a congressional investigation in the Armed Forces Committee and which was assigned by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research to the University of Colorado. It was led by Dr Edward V Condon, Professor of Physics and formerly Director of the National Bureau of Standards. The main findings of this study, published in 1969 were that:-

- a. About 90% of all UFO reports prove to be plausibly related to ^{natural} ~~national~~ phenomena.
- b. Little if anything had come to light from the study of UFOs in the past 21 years that added to scientific knowledge.
- c. Further extensive study of UFO sightings was not justified in the expectation that science would be advanced thereby.

- b. No evidence had come to light in the study to indicate that UFO sightings might represent a defensive hazard.
- e. The US Department of Defence should continue to handle UFO reports in its normal surveillance operations without recourse to special units such as Project Blue Book.

4. These findings were endorsed by a Panel of the National Academy of Sciences, which advised that the Condon enquiry had been an adequate scientific study of UFO phenomena and that the methodology and approach were well chosen and in accordance with the accepted standards of scientific investigation. The Panel added: "We are unanimous in the opinion that this (the Condon Report) has been a very creditable attempt to apply objectively the relevant techniques of science to the solution of the UFO problem. The Report recognises that there remain UFO sightings that are not easily explained. The Report does suggest, however, so many reasonable and possible directions in which an explanation may eventually be found that there seems to be no reason to attribute them to an extra-

terrestrial source without evidence that is much more convincing. The Report also shows how difficult it is to apply scientific methods to the occasional transient sightings with any chance of success. Whilst further study of particular aspects of the topic (eg atmospheric phenomena) may be useful, a study of UFOs in general is not a promising way to expand scientific understanding of the phenomena. On the basis of present knowledge the least likely explanation of UFOs is the hypothesis of extra terrestrial visitations by intelligent beings*."

5. The USAF wound up their Project Blue Book investigation on 17 December 1969 on the strength of the Condon Report, the advice of the National Academy of Sciences, past UFO studies and their own experience of investigating UFO reports over two decades. Their conclusions were that no UFO reported, investigated or evaluated by the Air Force had ever given any indication of a threat to their national security; that there had been no evidence submitted or discovered by the Air Force that technological developments or principles beyond the range of present day

scientific knowledge, and that there had been no evidence indicating that sightings categorised as "unidentified" were extra terrestrial vehicles.

6. The Condon Report caused a good deal of controversy and, we believe, was much criticised. In August 1976, however, the RAF Staff in Washington were informed by the US Department of the Air Force that there was no likelihood of renewed Air Force involvement in this area. The Department pointed to the considerable Air Force Commitment of resources in the past, the extreme pressure on Air Force funds in 1976 and the fact ^{since 1969 no evidence had been presented to warrant} that further investigation by the Air Force. The Department of the Air Force added that a number of universities and professional scientific organisations such as the American Association for the Advancement of Science had considered UFO phenomena. Private organisations had also shown interest in aerial phenomena. It was considered that such timely review of the situation by private groups insured that sound evidence would not be overlooked by the scientific community.

Ministry of Defence attitude

7. The MOD policy takes its cue from the Americans. It is always possible, of course, that the considerable correspondence addressed to MOD might contain some hint, not of UFOs, but of something with a hostile terrestrial origin which could have a bearing on national security. All letters are therefore referred to specialist authorities in MOD for any further investigation thought to be necessary; we do not take the enquiries further than that and we do not enter into detailed correspondence with the public. The standard reply to Members of Parliament and the public is that we have not the resources to conduct a scientific investigation into the nature of UFOs and, although we invariably pass reports of sightings to the staffs responsible for the air defences, we do not pursue enquiries to the point of positive identification. We do not dismiss the possibility that intelligent life could exist in outer space but to date no evidence has emerged to suggest that UFOs have extra terrestrial origins.

or that they represent a threat to national security. In fact, most of the sightings have commonplace origins, such as aircraft or aircraft lights seen from an unusual angle or in unusual meteorological conditions.

8. The trouble is that the dedicated "UFO-clogist" will not listen and is more concerned with selective evidence to support his own thesis. The more common criticisms are:-

- a. MOD will not let the public see its files. There is a "cover up" for security reasons.

There is no cover up and no security ban. It is true that when people ask to see the MOD UFO files they are told the papers must remain confidential. But there is a mundane reason for that. The files contain voluminous correspondence from the general public and we cannot divulge the identity of the correspondents, or the references to classified subjects which some of the files might contain. To remove these details would require extensive editing and we have not the staff to do the job. It follows that the files must remain closed under the rules laid down in the public Records Acts which at present preclude disclosure until 30 years have elapsed since the date of the particular correspondence. The earliest reports MOD hold are dated 1962. All earlier UFO papers were destroyed many years ago.

- b. MOD employs a special UFO organisation.

Quite untrue. Nobody is employed full time to monitor UFO sightings. There is no UFO section in MOD. One of the Secretarial branches answers letters from the public about UFOs but it has many other, quite different, responsibilities as well. It does not review or analyse the sightings. It merely sends the letters to the specialised branches who examine them solely to see if they have any bearing on the air defences.

- c. Vast numbers of UFO sightings are reported to MOD, many are unexplained

No statistical records are kept in MOD because of the staff shortage. All we can say is that MOD received rather more than 400 reports from the public in 1977, at a rate of 20 to 40 per month on average. There was a flurry of correspondence recently when the Daily Express launched its advertising campaign for the commercial film "Close Encounters of the Third Kind".

Attitude of French Government to UFOs

9. Apart from American policy we have recent information on only two Governments - those of France and Grenada. The French official procedure is not unlike ours. Reports from the public go in the first place to the Gendarmerie who if necessary interview the observer and take statements. The Gendarmerie send on the reports simultaneously to the Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (CENS) and to the French Ministry of Defence, who check radars etc to discover any military implications. The reports are then fed into a computer so that they can be "added to the statistics of the problem".

10. The view of the French Ministry of Defence may be summarised as follows:

- a. The role of the Air Force consists solely in estimating whether certain information amongst the evidence they receive

is likely to affect national defence; this is not in fact so

No acts of aggression either against persons or property civilian or military have been detected.

- b. The phenomena cannot at present be analysed by means of known measuring instruments Whilst maintaining an open mind we must restrain ourselves from hasty conclusions regarding the nature and origin of the phenomena and accept the fact that this problem like many others remains suspended in space.

11. In the last few months a small study group has been set up under the *auspices* of the CNES. It is chaired by Monsieur Claude Poher and is called the Groupe d'Etude des Phenomenes Aerospatiaux Non-identifies. The terms of reference for this group are not yet known but the title seems to be self-explanatory. The group has no formal links with the United Kingdom, and the Department of Education and Science advise that there is no similar scientific group in UK to study unidentified objects to phenomena.

12. Lord Clancarty drew attention to this group in a recent Parliamentary Question when he also referred to a broadcast on France-Inter radio in February 1974 when M. Robert Galley, the then French Minister of Defence was interviewed about UFOs. The interview is reported in a book, "The Crack in the Universe" which contains an accurate translation of the broadcast and introduces the transcript (quite fairly as an interview in which the Minister declared "there are phenomena which are unexplained". The blurb on the dust cover, however, has M. Galley freely admitting that UFOs exist and that they are a serious problem. According to the official transcript M. Galley made only two points of substance:-

- a. There are things about UFOs which are not understood and have not been explained.
- b. "We must adopt an extremely open attitude of mind to this, not put into doubt the sincerity of people reporting UFOs but at the moment it is really far too soon to draw the least conclusion".

Attitude of the Government of Grenada

13. In December 1977 Sir Eric Gairy, Prime Minister of Grenada, tabled a resolution at the United Nations calling on the Special Political Committee to set up a special agency or department to conduct research into unidentified flying objects and related phenomena. The British

(and we believe other) representatives were briefed to oppose such an agency on the grounds that it would reduce the credibility of the United Nations. Sir Eric withdrew the proposal and circulated a draft resolution requiring the Secretary General to conduct a wide-ranging investigation into flying saucers, including an analysis of the benefits, problems and dangers stemming from any contact with terrestrial life.

14. The UN Special Political Committee advised the General Assembly to take note of the various statements made by the Prime Minister of Grenada and to instruct the Secretary General to transmit the text to Member States of the UN and to interested specialist agencies. In other words the resolution has been shelved indefinitely.

LOOSE MINUTE

D/DD Ops(GE)/10/8C

S4(Air)Copies to:
PS/CS(RAF)
DI 55UFOS DEBATE IN THE HOUSE OF LORDS

Reference:

A. D/S4(Air)/8/3 dated 17 May 78



1. Reference A asked if we could comment more fully about the evidence of extra-terrestrial activity based on the reports received in the Ministry of Defence.

Additionally you wished to know how UFO reports are processed and whether there has been any attempt to consider them as a whole.

2. All aerial phenomena reports received by the Air Force Department of the MOD are reviewed by the Directorate of Operations (A Def & O); specifically by Ops(GE)2c. Each report is assessed on its merits and can be subjected to further investigation if considered necessary. An example of such an investigation is contained in Annex A; a number of time co-incident reports concerning the sighting of aerial phenomena over Southern England in the early hours of 16 April were eventually linked, by RAF Fylingdales (a BMEWS site), to the re-entry into earth's atmosphere of a satellite or satellite debris.

3. In the period 1 May 77 to 30 April 78 a total of 501 aerial phenomena reports were received and processed; of these only 6% indicated that further investigation was required; each report needing approximately 3 working hours to process fully. Such investigation requires that detail contained in each report be mapped and the resultant possible trajectory/track be correlated with any factual evidence that may be available either from the Ballistic Missile Early Warning System (BMEWS) or the Air Defence Ground Environment (ADGE) radar sites. During this period Air Defence radar sites have no record of unusual observations. Indeed none of the unusual phenomena reports have ever been confirmed as having an unknown origin by our ground radar sites.

4. Until the receipt of Reference A no attempt has been made to consider the reports as a whole, indeed the effort required would be difficult to justify. A review of the reports still held on file has been carried out; the statistical results appear in Annex B. Two points of interest emerge: firstly there appears to be a dramatic increase in the number of reported sightings since the end of 76, although it is possible that some reports received during the period 72-76 may have been destroyed. Secondly the detailed plotting of a number of the reports received in the period 1 Jan 78 to 30 April (Annex C) reveals a number of observation patterns which could be interpreted as the sighting of manmade space objects and/or decaying satellite debris re-entering the earth's atmosphere. The CS may wish to comment on this. However, much detailed work would be necessary to investigate fully this hypothesis.

5. RAF Fylingdales advise that on 23 May a total of 4,661 items of manmade space objects were orbiting the earth. Of these, 65% (3,343) had an angle of inclination which would result in an overflight of the United Kingdom. At Annex D is a table of the number of manmade objects launched each year since 1972. The table also shows yearly additions to the space catalogue and the number of items which were removed from the catalogue as they decayed from orbit and entered earth's atmosphere. It is estimated that approximately 20% of decaying objects are of sufficient size to be visible on their entry into the atmosphere.

6. We believe that the majority of aerial phenomena sighting reports have a rational explanation; meteorological balloons, atmospheric phenomena, orbiting space hardware, space debris re-entering the earth's atmosphere, cosmic debris and high-flying aircraft (both military and civil) are among the most probable explanations. Of the reports reviewed to date we can find no evidence of extra terrestrial visitation to either earth, its atmosphere or near space. The total lack of primary radar observations of unnatural phenomena leads us to be sceptical of Lord Clancarty's claims although we would not wish to state categorically that "UFOs" do not exist.

Undoubtedly a very small proportion of sightings reports will defy rational explanations but within MOD AFD we have neither the staff, information nor the time to investigate fully all reports.

7. We propose to continue with the compilation of Annex B in order that you may be given a current statement of figures at the time of the resubmission of the PQ.

12 Jun 78



N G COLVIN
Group Captain
DD Ops(GE)(RAF)
MB 4257 7892 MB

UNCLASSIFIED

ANNEX A TO
D/DD Ops(GE)/10/8C
DATED 12 JUN 78

INVESTIGATION OF AERIAL PHENOMENA REPORTS - 16 APRIL

Reference:

A. D/S4(Air)/8/3 dated 17 May 78.

1. As a result of the receipt on 17 April of a number of reports relating to time co-incident sightings of aerial phenomena in the early morning of 16 April, an investigation as to the probable cause was initiated. The period initially investigated was 15, 16 and 17 April and Appendix 1 shows the initial results which indicated that on 16th and 17th sighting reports revealed that phenomena were observed to travel from the South-West to the North-East across the Southern half of the United Kingdom, whilst on 15 April a reverse direction was observed. As the predominant number of sightings were after midnight on 16 April these were reviewed in more detail and appear at Appendix 2. Subsequent discussion with Fylingdales on 18 April revealed that 3 items of space hardware had been deleted from the space catalogue and from the trajectory of the phenomena reports it could be reasonably assumed that the witnesses had observed decaying items of hardware re-entering the earths atmosphere.

2. Subsequent to the receipt of Reference A the incident on 16 April has been re-examined. A geographical plan of the reports is at Appendix 3 together with numerically related reports. It is of interest that while the majority of the reports describe what was seen by the observer, reports 1 and 5 contain a limited amount of embellishment. Over the period May 77 to April 78 .2 percent of all received reports contained descriptions which could be interpreted as a report of the sighting of an "UFO". Two examples are shown at Appendix 4.

UNCLASSIFIED

CONFIDENTIAL
UNCLASSIFIED

3. The information given in all aerial phenomena reports is related to the following paragraph sequence:


- A. Date, Time and Duration of Sighting
- B. Description of Object
- C. Exact Position of Observer
- D. How Observed
- E. Direction in which Object was first seen
- F. Angular Elevation of Object
- G. Distance of Object from Observer
- H. Movements of Object
- J. Meteorological Conditions During Observations
- K. Nearby Objects
- L. To Whom Reported
- M. Name and Address of Informant
- N. Any Background Information on the Informant that may be Volunteered.
- O. Other Witnesses
- P. Date and Time of Receipt of Report.


CONFIDENTIAL
UNCLASSIFIED


AERIAL PHENOMENA REPORTS - 15/16/17 April 78
INITIAL INVESTIGATION



APPENDIX 1 to
ANNEX A to
D/DD Cps (GE)/10/8C
Dated 12 June 78







R 01000Z APR

FM LATCCHIL

O MODUK AIR

BT

UNCLAS

DIG LGZ161

AERIAL PHENOMENA

A 160110A APR 15 SECONDS

B CIGAR SHAPED NOSE CONE VERY BRIGHT FUSELAGE DIFFERENT COLOUR

WHOLE OBJECT VERY BRIGHT

C BOURNEMOUTH INDOORS STATIONARY

D NAKED EYE

E EAST

F 30 DEGREES

G ONE TO TWO MILES FROM HURN

H FIXED FLIGHT PATH TRAVELLING EAST

J CLEAR

K GRID SYSTEM ACROSS FIELD AT REAR STREET LAMPS

L ATC HURN

M MR SHINER ST CECILA 2 WOODLAND BEARWOOD BOURMOUTH

010171

135

PAGE 2 REDPGA 003 UNCLAS

N NATIONAL SERVICE WITH RAF OBSERVER CORP

O NIL

P 161015A APR

BT

CAB. 2. S 4(AIR) ACTION

CAM 1. ACST (RAF)

CYD 1. DS OPS (RAF) (RAF)

CAL 1 DI 55 b(SIC)

CAV 2 DI 13 d

NNNN

1.

16 1800Z

FOR COMPONENT USE - IN MESSAGE

107

Serial

014170

Extra cc

7

UU Marker 325

12/82

Match On

Time

Check

136

VZCZCPDA082
RR RBDWC
DE RBDPGA 004 1061035
ZNR UUUUU
R 161000Z APR
FM LATCCMIL
TO MODUK AIR

BT
UNCLAS
DIG LGZ160
AERIAL PHENOMENA
A 160110A APR 5 TO 10 SECS
B ONE WHITE WITH EXHAUST TURN TO PLATE SHAPE AND EXPLODED
C OUTDOORS GARDEN STAINES
D NAKED EYE
E WEST TO EAST
F 45 DEG
G 3 TO 4 MILES
H CHANGED SHAPE FROM FIRST OBSERVATION AS COME AND FINALLY EXPLODED
J CLEAR
K PYLONS AND HEATHROW AIRPORT
L STAINES POLICE
M MRS L BROWN 7 CHESTNUT MANOR CLOSE STAINES
N NIL

PAGE 2 RBDPGA 004 UNCLAS
O NIL
P 161025A APR
BT

CAB SH(AIR) ACTION (2)
CAM ACS(T)(RAF)
CYD DD CTS(GE)(RAF)
CAL DI 553 (SIC)
CAY DI 13D (2)

NNNN

2

109
016120

UNCLASSIFIED

135

CYD020 16/2044 1600550

FOR CYD

ROUTINE 162010Z APR

FROM HQ PLYMOUTH
TO MODUM AIR
UNRACC
LATCO NIL
HQ 11 GP

UNCLASSIFIED

DIG 160570
UFO REPORT

- A. 16 APR 73 1100Z SHOW PERIOD
- B. ONE VERY BRIGHT OBJECT POSSIBLY OVAL SHAPED LEAVING TRAIL
- C. EARLSWOOD DRIVE MAINSTONE PLYMOUTH OUTDOORS STATIONARY
- D. HAYED EYE
- E. NORTHWARDS
- F. QUOTE AS HIGH AS A HELICOPTER UNQUOTE
- G. NOT KNOWN
- H. TOWARDS EAST WHEN LOOKING NORTHERLY. GETTING PROGRESSIVELY CLOSER TO GROUND MOVING SLOWLY
- J. CLEAR SKY

PAGE 2 BXDBFC 046 UNCLAS

- K. UNINTERRUPTED VIEW
- L. DUTY CONTROLLER SRCC
- M. MRS BAILEY 11 EARLSWOOD DRIVE MAINSTONE PLYMOUTH TEL PLYMOUTH 705747
- N. NIL
- O. THREE NEIGHBOURS NO NAMES GIVEN
- P. 161040Z APR 73
- BT

DISTRIBUTION LGZ

ME	2	6 4 (110)	ACT	1	DSC(AFDG)
DAI	1	ACC(TA) (RAF)			
CYC	1	DD 000 (GE) (RAF)			
...			
...			

3

140

160710# 111

014172

FOR CONCERNED USE - IN MESSAGE

VZCZCPDA055ZC
R RBDVC
E RBDPGA 002 1060740
NR: UUUUU
160710Z APR
M LATCOMIL
O MODUK AIR
T

UU

	PAGE#	Serial
(7)		Type
625	1045	Dispatch No Time
		Check

UNCLAS
 IIG LGZ159
 SERIAL PHENOMENA
 . 160103Z APR TO 10-15SECS
 . ONE WHITE AND ORANGE EXHAUST TRAIL BRIGHT NONE NONE
 . QUEENNS ROAD STORE OUTDOOR STATIONARY
 . NAKED EYE
 . NORTH EAST
 . 30DEGS
 . N/K
 . STEADY
 . SKY CLEAR
 . VICTORIA BUILDINGS CLIFTON
 . SOUTHHEAD POLICE STATION BRISTOL
 . DETECTIVE CHIEF INSPECTOR CLIFFORD, STAPLE HILL POLICE STATION
 BRISTOL

PAGE 2 RBDPGA 002 UNCLAS
 . NONE
 . SGT CAMPBELL, REDLAND POLICE STATION BRISTOL
 . SIMILAR REPORTS RECEIVED DURING EARLY HOURS OF 16 APR FROM THE
 FOLLOWING POLICE FORCES
 . CARDIFF CENTRAL POLICE
 . MURTON ON TRENT POLICE
 . DEVON SOMERSET CONSTABULARY
 THESE REPORTS GIVE SIGHTING TIMES BETWEEN 152359Z TO 160108Z APR
 AT

CAB SH(AIR) (2) ACTION
 CAM ACS(T) (NAF)
 CYD DDIPS(GE) (NAF)
 CAR DI 55B(SIC)
 CAV DI 13D (2)

4

NNN

014169

113

FOR COMMUNICATIONS UNIT - 1 MESSAGE	
IN Serial	
Extra	5
Message	B18 1300
	Check

VZCZCPDA081 UU
 RR REDWC
 DE RBDPGA 005 1061210
 ZNR UUUUU
 R 161055Z APR
 FM LATCCHIL
 TO MODUK AIR

BT
 UNCLAS
 DIG LGZ162
 AERIAL PHENOMENA
 A 160130A APR SHORT DURATION
 B ONE OVAL ORANGE WITH WHITE COCKPIT
 C BEDROOM WINDOW OF HOUSE
 D NAKED EYE
 E FROM SOUTH WEST
 F NOT KNOWN
 G 1000FT
 H CAME FROM SOUTHWEST HOVERED THEN WENT OFF AT GREAT SPEED TO SOUTH EAST
 J CLEAR
 K MULTI STOREY FLATS
 L POLICE MAINDEE NEWPORT
 M MR SIDNEY MORGAN 27 RINGLAND CENTRE NEWPORT

142

PAGE 2 RBDPGA 005 UNCLAS
 N NIL
 O NIL
 P 161015A APR GWYNEDD POLICE
 BT

NNNN

CAB S4 (AIR) (5)
 CAM ACS (T) (RAF)
 CYD DDOPS (GE) (RAF)
 CAL DISSB (SIC)
 CAN DIIBD

5

014518 126

UNCLASSIFIED

RFA

CYD175 17/2919 10703995

FOR CYD

ROUTINE 171930Z APR

15H

FROM LATOCNHL
TO MODUK AIR

UNCLASSIFIED

DIG LRA171

AERIAL PHENOMENA

A 1054100 105000Z

- B ONE ORANGE BALL OF FLAME NONE NONE
- C FERNDALE, PONTYPRIDD OUTDOORS STATIONARY
- D NAKED EYE
- E S/E
- F N/E
- G N/E
- H STEADY
- J CLEAR SKY
- K NONE
- L SOUTH WALES CONSTABULARY BRIDGE END
- M MR AND MRS YEOMAN, 21, NORTH ROAD, NORTH MR AND MRS OWEN, BOWLING STREET, WILLIAMSTOWN PONTYPRIDD

PAGE 2 RBDPRA 011 UNCLAS

N NONE
BT

DISTRIBUTION LGZ

DAB	2	S J (AFDO) (ACT)	1	OKJ	1	DSC (AFDO)
DAL	1	ACB (IT) (RAF)				
WD	1	DD CMB (SE) (RAF)				
DAL	1	DI 55 (SIC)				
DAY	2	DI 13				

6

127
018841

NVA

UNCLASSIFIED

CYD184 18/1949 10804231

FOR CYD

ROUTINE 101810Z APR

FROM LAFDCHIL
TO MODUK AIR

155

UNCLASSIFIED
DIG LG2174

AERIAL PHENOMENA

A 180105A APR 5-7 SECONDS

Z 1 CORNET SHAPED OBJECT WITH SHEET OF ORANGE AND NEON GREEN LIGHT
AT TAIL END. THEN SPLIT INTO 3 PIECES AND FADED OUT

C ON THE A251 HORSHAM TO GUILDFORD ROAD IN CAR MOVING

O NAKED EYE

E SOUTH WEST MOVING NORTH EAST

F N/K

G N/K

H LEVEL WITH SPEED LIKE A ROCKET

J CLEAR

K WIFE

L GUILDFORD POLICE

M MR FRANKLIN 25 HANDEVILLE CLOSE GUILDFORD SURREY

PAGE 2 R00PGA 007 UNCLAS

N NPL

O WIFE

P 181824A APR

BT

DISTRIBUTION LGE

DME	2	S-4 (MIG) - ACTION	JMS	1	DSC (AFDO) -
CAN	1	ACC (7) (RAF)			
CYD	1	DD Ops (RE) (RAF)			
DME	1	DI 57 (SIC)			
ZNY	2	DI 13			

7

NAA

122

014522

UNCLASSIFIED

CYD116 17/1623 137C2733

FOR CYD

ROUTINE 171335Z APR

FROM LATCONIC
TO MODUK AIR

131

UNCLASSIFIED

DIG 132173

AERIAL PHENOMENA

A 171135Z APR

D ONE LARGE BALL OF FIRE

C BRENTWOOD

D NAKED EYE

E NOT GIVEN

F NOT GIVEN

G NOT GIVEN

H HOVERING

J CLEAR SKY

K NONE

L ESSEX POLICE

M MRS DICKIE 49 SONGOR ROAD, BRENTWOOD, ESSEX

N NONE

PAGE 2 REDPGA 009 UNCLAS

O NONE

P 171435A APR

BT

DISTRIBUTION

SAB	2	3 4 (air)	ATTN: (CXC - 1 DSC(AFDO))
CAL	1	AGG (T/RAF)	
CYD	1	DD Ops (GE)	
CAL	1	DI 53 b (sic)	
CAY	2	DI 13 a	

8

124

014500

JAA

UNCLASSIFIED

CYD134 17/1700 10703211

FOR CYD

ROUTINE 171545Z APR

FROM HQ PLYMOUTH
TO MODUK AIR
UKRAOC
LATCHMIL
HQ 11 GP

152

UNCLASSIFIED

DIP LGZ

UFG REPORT

A. 150100A

B. LONG OBJECT WITH FLAKES BEHIND (MULTICOLOURED)

C. OUTDOORS IN OLD TIVERTON ROAD EXETER

D. NAKED EYE

E. TOWARDS SOUTHWEST

F. APPROX 45 DEGREES

G. QUARTER MILE

H. MOVING SOUTHWESTERLY

I. CLEAR SKY

J. DISAPPEARED BEHIND HOUSES BUT APPEARED TO BE IN LEVEL FLIGHT

PAGE 2 RYDBFC 205 UNCLAS

L. EXETER EXPRESS AND ECHO (NEWSPAPER)

M. MR AND MRS HOAKEN 2 YONKS RD EXETER

N. NONE

O. SEEN BY HUSBAND AND WIFE AT PARA W.

P. 171545A

BT

DISTRIBUTION LGZ

DAB	2	S 4 (air)	ACTIA	(SHE)	1	DSC(AFCO)
CAN	1	ACB(T)	(RAF)			
CWD	1	CD	(SHE)	(F)		
CAL	1	CI	(SIC)			
CNF	2	CI				

9

114

014134

U N C L A S S I F I E D

014134 15/1343 15000000

FOR CND

ROUTINE 151435Z APR ?

143

FROM LATSOMIL
TO MODUM AIR

U N C L A S S I F I E D

014134

AERIAL PHENOMENA

A 150135Z APR ONE MIN

R INTENSE BRIGHT WHITE LIGHT WHICH CHANGED TO RED

D FROM UPSTAIRS ROOM WINDOW

D NAKED EYE

E FROM NORTH TO SOUTH

F LOW

G NOT KNOWN

H TRAVELLED HORIZONTALLY JUST BELOW MOUNTAIN-LINE SHOWING AS WHITE LIGHT THEN STOPPED CHANGED TO INTENSE RED LIGHT AND SHOT UP VERTICALLY AT GREAT SPEED AND DISAPPEARED

J CLEAR

K MOUNTAIN BACKGROUND WITH ROAD RUNNING ALONG MOUNTAIN

L FERRISDALE POLICE

PAGE 2-RR0PRA 006 UNCLAS

M MRS GAYNOR COX 59 REGENT ST FERRISDALE GLANORMAN

N RELIABLE

O NIL

P 151130Z APR

BT

DISTRIBUTION 135-

1	1	S-1 (AIR)	ACTA	1	DSC (AFSO)
1	1	ACC (T)	RAF		
1	1	CD	GEY (R)		
1	1	DI	77 (SIC)		
1	1	DI	15		

10

014729

129

111A

U N C L A S S I F I E D

NYD214 1342291 11804542

FOR CWO

ACTIVE 100113Z APR

FROM RAF HONINGTON
TO WOODBURY AIR

157

U N C L A S S I F I E D

MSG LG2114

UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT-REPORT

1 SUNDAY 11 APR AT 2100 HRS FOR 5 TO 6 SECONDS
 2 ONE BRILLIANT WHITE TRAIL APPROX HALF MILE HOUSEHOLD
 HIGHWAY STAKE. LEAVING A PERFECTLY STRAIGHT TRAIL OF LIGHT
 3 STATIONARY OUTSIDE AMBULANCE STATION AT SCRUB LAKE THUNDERBURY
 ESSEX
 4 NAKED EYE
 5 APPEARED OVER INTERSECTION OF SCRUB LAKE AND DAVES HEATH LAKE. ROOF
 TOP HEIGHT
 6 FROM ROOF TOPS CLIMBED VERY FAST AT ESTIMATED ANGLE OF 50 DEGREES
 FROM HORIZONTAL. OBSERVER REMARKED THAT CLIMB OUT SIMILAR TO
 MILITARY JET
 7 POSSIBLY FROM PLAYING FIELD IN SCRUB LAKE ESTIMATED DISTANCE 300
 TO 1000 METRES

PAGE 2 FDP/PA 001 UNCLAS

8 EXTREMELY FAST NON DEVIATING COURSE. OBJECT APPEARED TO JETTISON
 WHITE PARTICLES OF SAME BRILLIANCE HALF WAY THROUGH FLIGHT. OBJECT
 INCREASED SPEED CONTINUOUSLY BEFORE DISAPPEARING STILL CLIMBING
 STEEPLY

9 CLEAR SKY-STARS CLEARLY VISIBLE
 10 CONTINENT AIRPORT ABOUT 4 MILES AWAY
 11 NOT PREVIOUSLY REPORTED. THIS REPORT TO SAC PARKER OPS
 HONINGTON
 12 MR D. STUBBS, 77 THORPEDENE GARDENS, SHOEBURYNESS, ESSEX. TEL NO
 0206251155
 13 OBSERVER IS AN AMBULANCE MAN WHO WAS COMING OFF DUTY WITH A
 COLLEAGUE. HE WAS GOING TO REPORT THE INCIDENT EARLIER BUT WAS
 UNSURE OF PROCEDURE

14 MR SPINNER
 15 100113Z APR
 BT



DISTRIBUTION LG2

16 2 5 4 (41A) ACTION (CWO 1 DST(1510))

11

(160)

132

REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

A. Date, Time and Duration of Sighting.	160107A April 11 to 12 seconds
B. <u>DESCRIPTION OF OBJECT</u> (Number of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness)	ONE White with orange centre (Not unlike the trail of a rocket firework)
C. <u>EXACT POSITION OF OBSERVER</u> (Location, indoor/outdoor, stationary, moving)	Indoors looking out of North facing window.
D. <u>HOW OBSERVED</u> (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical devices, still or movie)	Naked Eye
E. <u>DIRECTION IN WHICH OBJECT FIRST SEEN</u> (A landmark may be more useful than a badly estimated bearing)	West moving East
F. <u>ANGLE OF SIGHT</u> (Estimated heights are unreliable)	20° from an upper room approx 14 ft from ground.
G. <u>DISTANCE</u> (By reference to known landmark)	Not known
H. <u>MOVEMENTS</u> (Changes in E, F and G may be of more use than estimates of course and speed)	Speeding up, broke into three parts then disappeared.
J. <u>MET CONDITIONS DURING OBSERVATIONS</u> (Clouds, haze, mist etc.)	Clear

<p><u>NEARBY OBJECTS</u> (Telephone lines, high voltage lines, reservoir, lake or dam, swamp or marsh, river, high buildings, tall chimneys, steeples, spires, TV or radio masts, airfields, generating plant, factories, pits or other sites with flood lights or night lighting)</p>	<p>Housing estate with clear all round visability</p>
<p>L. <u>TO WHOM REPORTED</u> (Police, military, press etc)</p>	<p>Belvedere police station (383 7282)</p>
<p>M. <u>NAME AND ADDRESS OF INFORMANT</u></p>	<p>Mrs Woodward ^{TEL:-} 304-9530 340 Long Lane. Bexley Heath</p>
<p>N. <u>BACKGROUND OF INFORMANT THAT MAY BE VOLUNTEERED</u></p>	<p>Sugar refiner</p>
<p>O. <u>OTHER WITNESSES</u></p>	<p>Mr Woodward</p>
<p>P. <u>DETAILED MET REPORT</u> (AFOR to obtain)</p>	<p>Clear with few showers</p>
<p>Q. <u>DATE AND TIME OF RECEIPT</u></p>	<p>161100A April 1978</p>
<p>R. <u>ADOC ASSESSMENT</u> (Check radars, ATCCs etc)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Aircraft 2. Ranges 3. Gliding 4. Balloon 5. Air Sea Rescue Activities 	<p style="text-align: right;"><i>H. Khan</i></p>

Date 16 April 1978

Sqn Ldr. DCO AFOR (RAF)

Copies to: S4f(Air)/STCCC/CPS (GE)2/DI 55
 Rm 8249 Rm 4260 Rm 3/17
 Main Blg Main Blg Metropole
 (2 Copies)

SCIENCE3/FILE
 Rm 1206
 Main Blg

115

014133

UNCLASSIFIED

CYD011 15/1344 10603555

FOR CYD

ROUTINE 151320Z APR ?

144

FROM LATCOMIL
TO NODUK AIR

UNCLASSIFIED

DIS LGZ164

AERIAL PHENOMENA

- A 151115A-APR DURATION NOT STATED
- B ONE LARGE ROUND GLOWING OBJECT WHICH SEEMED TO BE SHEDDING SOME GLOWING MATERIAL
- C OUTDOORS LEATHERHEAD RD GREAT BOOKHAM SURREY
- D NAKED EYE
- E WEST
- F NOT KNOWN
- G ESTIMATED ONE MILE
- H MOVING EAST TOWARDS COBHAM
- J CLEAR
- K NOT STATED
- L LEATHERHEAD POLICE
- M MR JONES SILVER BIRCHES LEATHERHEAD RD GT BOOKHAM SURREY

PAGE 2 RBDPRA 007 UNCLAS

R NIL

O WIFE

P 151305A APR

BT

DISTRIBUTION LGZ

RAF	2	S 4 (A11)	AST	1	DSC(AFOO)
RAF	1	ACB(T)	(RAF)		
CYD	1	OD	008(OE7)		(RAF)
RAF	1	DI	55 8(SIC)		
RAF	2	DI	13 1		

13.

REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

10/8
135

<p>A. Date, Time and Duration of Sighting.</p>	<p>160100A 2 seconds</p> <p align="right">(161)</p>
<p>B. <u>DESCRIPTION OF OBJECT</u> (Number of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness)</p>	<p>Similar to rocket fireworks - front half a ball shape - trail of sparks & patches of brown smoke - one only</p>
<p>C. <u>EXACT POSITION OF OBSERVER</u> (Location, indoor/outdoor, stationary, moving)</p>	<p>A10 bypass travelling North between Hoddleston and Hertford</p>
<p>D. <u>HOW OBSERVED</u> (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical devices, still or movie)</p>	<p>Naked eye</p>
<p>E. <u>DIRECTION IN WHICH OBJECT FIRST SEEN</u> (A landmark may be more useful than a badly estimated bearing)</p>	<p>West to East</p>
<p>F. <u>ANGLE OF SIGHT</u> (Estimated heights are unreliable)</p>	<p>1 - 2 miles</p>
<p>G. <u>DISTANCE</u> (By reference to known landmark)</p>	<p>near distance - almost overhead</p>
<p>H. <u>MOVEMENTS</u> (Changes in E, F and G may be of more use than estimates of course and speed)</p>	<p>nil</p>
<p>J. <u>MET CONDITIONS DURING OBSERVATIONS</u> (Clouds, haze, mist etc.)</p>	<p>clear</p>

<p>K. <u>NEARBY OBJECTS</u> (Telephone lines, high voltage lines, reservoir, lake or dam, swamp or marsh, river, high buildings, tall chimneys, steeples, spires, TV or radio masts, airfields, generating plant, factories, pits or other sites with flood lights or night lighting)</p>	<p>Main road - fairly clear</p>
<p>L. <u>TO WHOM REPORTED</u> (Police, military, press etc)</p>	<p>Police (Hertford)</p>
<p>M. <u>NAME AND ADDRESS OF INFORMANT</u></p>	<p>Mrs Suzanne High 24 Riversmeet, Hertford</p>
<p>N. <u>BACKGROUND OF INFORMANT THAT MAY BE VOLUNTEERED</u></p>	<p>Nil</p>
<p>O. <u>OTHER WITNESSES</u></p>	<p>With husband</p>
<p>P. <u>DETAILED MET REPORT</u> (AFOR to obtain)</p>	<p>Sunny spells. few showers or rain or sleet</p>
<p>Q. <u>DATE AND TIME OF RECEIPT</u></p>	<p>160150A</p>
<p>R. <u>ADOC ASSESSMENT</u> (Check radars, ATCCs etc)</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Aircraft 2. Ranges 3. Gliding 4. Balloon 5. Air Sea Rescue Activities 	

F. L. D. O'Connell

Date 16 April 78

Sqn Ldr. DOO AFOR (RAF)

Copies to: S4f(Air)/STCCC/OPS (GE)2/DI 55
 Rm 8249 Rm 4260 Rm 3/17
 Main Blg Main Blg Metropole
 (2 Copies)

SCIENCE3/FILE
 Rm 1206
 Main Blg

(159)
REPORT OF AN UNIDENTIFIED FLYING OBJECT

A. Date, Time and Duration of Sighting.	130115A April 10 Seconds
B. <u>DESCRIPTION OF OBJECT</u> (Number of objects, size, shape, colour, brightness)	Bright white light (not unlike firework trail) Disappeared then re-appeared
C. <u>EXACT POSITION OF OBSERVER</u> (Location, indoor/outdoor, stationary, moving)	In motor car (in motion and stationary)
D. <u>HOW OBSERVED</u> (Naked eye, binoculars, other optical devices, still or movie)	Naked eye
E. <u>DIRECTION IN WHICH OBJECT FIRST SEEN</u> (A landmark may be more useful than a badly estimated bearing)	West to East
F. <u>ANGLE OF SIGHT</u> (Estimated heights are unreliable)	Due North. 30° approx
G. <u>DISTANCE</u> (By reference to known landmark)	Approx over Donnington Castle (3-4 miles away) <i>i. e. North of Donnington.</i>
H. <u>MOVEMENTS</u> (Changes in E, F and G may be of more use than estimates of course and speed)	Possible reduction in altitude
J. <u>MET CONDITIONS DURING OBSERVATIONS</u> (Clouds, haze, mist etc.)	Clear with bright moonlight

15

EXAMPLE OF "UFO" REPORTS

29

UNCLASSIFIED

000000

CYDC55 20/1359 32002096

FOR CYD

ROUTINE/ROUTINE 201055Z JAN

FROM RAF VALLEY
TO MODUK AIR
INFO HQRAFSC

30

[Handwritten signature]
N/A

6224

UNCLASSIFIED

DIG LGZ101

SUBJECT UFO REPORT

- A 191145Z JAN CNM 1 TO 2 MIN
- B 1 OBJECT CNM 40 FEET LONG 20 FEET HIGH CNM CIGAR SHAPED WITH BULGE ON TOP SURFACE AT MID POINT CNM BRIGHT SILVER WITH BRIGHT RED BAND AROUND BASE OF BULGE CNM NO SOUND OR SMELL OBSERVED
- C VILLAGE OF EHEL CNM OBSERVER DRIVING FROM RUTHIN TO DENBY
- D NAKED EYE THROUGH CAR WINDSCREEN
- E EAST CNM OVER HOUSE ROOFTOPS
- F 20 DEGREES
- G ESTIMATED BETWEEN 1 QUARTER AND 1 HALF MILE
- H CLIMBED VERY SLOWLY TO ESTIMATED 500 FEET CNM MOVED SLOWLY PARALLEL TO ROAD FOR APPROX 1 HALF MILE THEN DESCENDED BEHIND TREES
- J FINE AND SUNNY

PAGE 2 RBDTCG 004 UNCLAS

- K TREES CNM COTTAGES CNM TELEPHONE LINES ALONG ROADSIDE
- M MRS A THATCHER AND MISS ROSE OWEN WOODLAND HALL COUNTRY CLUB RUTHIN
- N INFORMANT CLAIMS TO BE FAMILIAR WITH LOW FLYING AIRCRAFT
- O NONE
- P 191640Z JAN

BT

DISTRIBUTION LGZ

F					
CAF	2	S 4 (AIC)	ACT/1	(CNJ	1 DSO(ARDC))
CAH	1	500(T)	(ELF)		
CYE	1	13	CO/SE	(S)	
CWL	1	DI	10	(S)	
CWY	2	DI	10		

10/8/1-

UNCLASSIFIED

046891

CYD064 23/2259 36201848

FOR CYD

ROUTINE 282150Z DEC

FROM LATCC MIL
TO HODUKAIR

*file
requested
.../...*

UNCLASSIFIED

DIG LGZ682

AERIAL PHENOMENA

A 281300Z DEC

B ONE SPACE VEHICLE OVAL IN SHAPE SIZE OF SINGLE DECKER BUS COLOURED SILVER HAVING LIGHTS ALL AROUND BASE COLOURED WHITE GMM YELLOW AND BLUE

C BRITON FERRY 745945 OUTDOORS

D NAKED EYE

E EASTERLY GMM OBJECT TRAVELLED WEST

F NOT STATED

G NOT STATED GMM SITE IS OPEN MOORLAND/WASTE LAND

H SIGHTED COMING INTO HOVER ABOUT TWENTY FEET UP PD LIGHTS EXTINGUISHED PD DOOR OPENED TO REVEAL INTERNAL LIGHTS PD DOOR CLOSED AND VEHICLE THEN ACCELERATED VERY FAST TO THE WEST

J COLD CLEAR NIGHT

QE 2 RBDPGA 003 UNCLAS

K NONE

L HQ BRIDGEND POLICE TEL 55555

M ROBERT ADAIR 49 RUSKIN STREET BRITON FERRY

N INTERVIEWED BY POLICE GMM WITNESSES APPEARED EXCITED AND SHAKEN AGE GROUP 16/17 YEARS

O MARTIN KERSWELL 24 GLANYMOR BRITON FERRY

P 281810Z DEC

BT

DISTRIBUTION LGZ

F				
CAB	2	S 4 (AIR)	AF/10K (CXJ)	1 DSC(AFDO)
CYD	1	20 Ops(CE)	(RAF)	
CAL	1	D1 55 8(SIC)		
CMY	2	D1 15 3		
CMR	1	Science 3(RAF)		

UNCLASSIFIED

CONFIDENTIAL

ANNEX B TO
DD OPS(CE)10/8c
DATED 23 JUN 78

RECORD OF AERIAL PHENOMENA REPORTS STILL RETAINED BY D OF OPS (A DEF & O)

YEAR	JAN	FEB	MAR	APR	MAY	JUN	JUL	AUG	SEP	OCT	NOV	DEC	TOTALS
1972	4	7	7	18	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	38
1973	-	-	-	-	1	3	-	1	4	-	1	-	10
1974	-	1	-	10	-	2	5	21	9	3	-	-	51
1975	-	7	8	2	4	6	6	18	6	7	18	9	91
1976	-	-	11	6	-	11	17	10	3	9	5	14	86
1977	17	20	13	14	70	25	62	27	43	49	27	20	387
1978	39	23	48	68									278

UNCLASSIFIED

CONFIDENTIAL


NOTE: It is possible that a number of Reports received between 1972 and 1975/76 could have been destroyed.

SPACE CATALOGUE - TABLE OF MAN-MADE SPACE HARDWARE - WORLDWIDE

a	b	c	d
Year	Total added to Catalogue	Total Launches	Total Decay
1972	568	99	373
1973	763	108	524
1974	510	106	361
1975	976	125	316
1976	1128	128	674
1977	979	124	525
1978	279	51	210

NOTES

1. Column b. identifies the number of items of space hardware that are added to the Space Catalogue each year, (Includes all hardware remnants from a Space launch).
2. Column c. The total number of launches (worldwide)
3. Column d. Total number of items of space hardware that have decayed into earth atmosphere, 20% are large enough to be visible from earth.
4. Total number of items in Space Catalogue up to 23 May 78 = 4661
Of these 75% (3643) have a trajectory which would result in overflight of UK.





AUTHORISATION FOR THE RELEASE OF INFORMATION

Applicant: [REDACTED]

Case Number: 17-01-2005-103143-013

Expiry: 11 February 2005

The Applicant has made the following request for information:

Please could you let me know the number of UFO sightings reported by the public in the last 50 years.

Case for release of information

The applicant has requested figures for the past 50 years, however, the MOD has only kept statistics on the number of UFO reports received since 1959. These figures include all the reports received per year and are not segregated by source (ie. public, military personnel, civilian pilots, air traffic controllers, etc). These figures have already been released in answer to Parliamentary Questions and enquiries from the public.

Authorisation

I hereby give authorisation for the release of the aforementioned information to the Applicant.

Grade/Rank: B2 Name: [REDACTED]

Authorisation Reference Number: DAS-FOI 04/05.....

Date: 24/1/05

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your e-mail of 16 January concerning the number of UFO sightings reported in the last 50 years. Your request has been passed to this department as we are the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence regarding UFOs. Your request has been considered in accordance with the Freedom of Information Act 2000.

Please find attached a list of the number of UFO sighting reports received by the MOD since 1959. We do not hold figures before 1959. These figures are not held by source (i.e. members of the public, military, civilian pilots, air traffic controller, police etc) so these show the total number of reports received per year from all sources.

I hope this is helpful. If this information does not address your requirements or you wish to complain about any aspect of the handling of this request, then you should contact the undersigned in the first instance. Should you remain dissatisfied, then you may apply for an internal review by contacting the Director of Information Exploitation, 6th Floor, MOD Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB.

If you are still unhappy following an internal review, you may take your complaint to the Information Commissioner under the provisions of Section 50 of the Freedom of Information Act. Please note the Information Commissioner will not investigate your case until the MOD internal process has been completed. Further details of the role and powers of the Information Commissioner can be found on the Commissioner's website, <http://www.informationcommissioner.gov.uk>.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]
Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information
5th Floor, Zone H, [REDACTED]
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

Das-ufo-office@mod.uk

26th January 2005

NUMBERS OF "UNEXPLAINED" AERIAL SIGHTINGS REPORTED
TO THE MINISTRY OF DEFENCE


Figures from before 1959 are not available.

N.B. The figures below relate to the number of reports, received by the Ministry of Defence, of aerial activity which was not immediately identifiable to the witness. They should not be taken to reflect sightings of "UFOs/flying saucers".

1959 – 22
1960 – 31
1961 – 71
1962 – 46
1963 – 51
1964 – 74
1965 – 56
1966 – 95
1967 – 362
1968 – 280
1969 – 228
1970 – 181
1971 – 379
1972 – 201
1973 – 233
1974 – 177
1975 – 208
1976 – 200
1977 – 435
1978 – 750
1979 – 550
1980 – 350
1981 – 600
1982 – 250
1983 – 390
1984 – 214
1985 – 177
1986 – 120
1987 – 150
1988 – 397
1989 – 258
1990 – 209
1991 – 117
1992 – 147
1993 – 258
1994 – 250
1995 – 373



1996 - 609
1997 - 425
1998 - 193
1999 - 229
2000 - 210
2001 - 203
2002 - 100
2003 - 99
2004 - 85
2005 - 4



GxAITESTPTCD/

18 January 05

Home My Cases Log New Request Policy Guidance Help

Request: [Redacted] 17-01-2005-103143-013
Days Left: 19

Received: 16 Jan 05
Expiry Date: 16 Feb 05
Status: Internal Transfer

🔍 All sources

Workflow Options

Internal Transfer

View

- Audit Trail
- Comments Log
- Saved Search Result
- Contact Details
- Documents

Actions

- Assign Within My Group
- Change Alert Settings
- Edit Request Details
- Upload Document
- Close Case
- Take Ownership

Editing the request details will initiate a new search.
The new search results will be saved and will replace the existing save search results.

Date request received: 16 January 2005

Applicant Details

Title: [Redacted] Other: [Redacted]

First Name: [Redacted] *Surname: [Redacted] 40

Organisation: [Redacted]

Applicant Type: Private Individuals Other: [Redacted]

Contact Details (Mailing or email address required)

Address Line1: [Redacted]

Address Line2: [Redacted]

Address Line3: [Redacted]

Town/City: [Redacted]

Postcode: [Redacted] Country: United Kingdom

Email: [Redacted]

Telephone: [Redacted] Fax: [Redacted]

Clear <Back Next > Cancel



GxAITESTPTCD/

18 January 05

Home My Cases Log New Request Policy Guidance Help

Request: [Redacted] 17-01-2005-103143-013

Received: 16 Jan 05
Expiry Date: 11 Feb 05
Status: Internal Transfer

🔍 All sources

Days Left: 19

Workflow Options

Internal Transfer

View

- Audit Trail
- Comments Log
- Saved Search Result
- Contact Details
- Documents

Actions

- Assign Within My Group
- Change Alert Settings
- Edit Request Details
- Upload Document
- Close Case
- Take Ownership

Editing the request details will initiate a new search.
The new search results will be saved and will replace the existing save search results.

Request Details

Response Format Requested:

Language Requested Welsh

*Enter the request for information:

Please could you let me know the number of UFO sightings reported by the public in the last 50 years.

Record storage location of Applicant request (or upload document).

Email held by Info Access Helpdesk

Clear

⏪ Back

Next ⏩

Cancel



AUTHORISATION FOR THE RELEASE OF INFORMATION

Applicant: [REDACTED]

Case Number: 07-01-2005-~~10451-006~~⁷

Expiry: 4 February 2005

104751-006

The Applicant has made the following request for information:

Under the guidelines of the new Freedom of Information Act, I am enquiring about what has become known as "The Rendlesham Incident". The date of this incident was the night of December 27/28 1980 and centred around the sighting(s) of an unidentified aerial object / craft, in effect a UFO an alleged landing / near landing of same. The event(s) involved members of the USAF and other personnel at RAF Bentwaters and Woodbridge bases adjacent to Rendlesham Forest in South-East England, and was subsequently reported on the "News of the World (Oct 2 1983) and later via two books, "Turn Left at Eastgate" and "You Can't Tell The People". Specifically, I wish to have the following questions addressed:

- (1) Have any of the reported aerial / landed/ near landed objects been identified?
- (2) During the years following December 28, 1980 was information pertaining to any part(s) of the Rendlesham Incident withheld from the public domain?
- (3) If "yes" to Q2, why was this information denied public scrutiny?
- (4) If applicable, do you have for release – to send to me – a copy of any original document / memo that will support the official suppression of the Rendlesham Incident?

Case for release of information

The documents on these events were not "suppressed" or "denied public scrutiny", but prior to the introduction of the FOI Act, they were closed for 30 years in accordance with the Public Record Act 1958 and 1967. Since 1994 the public have been able to make requests for information from closed files in accordance with the Code of Practice on Access to Government Information (the Code). Following such a request in July 2002, the documents were released and are now freely available via the MOD FOI Publication Scheme.

Please see attached a draft letter to [REDACTED] informing him of the above and how he can access these records. This answers [REDACTED] request in full.

Authorisation

I hereby give authorisation for the release of the aforementioned information to the Applicant.

Grade/Rank: B2..... Name: .....

Authorisation Reference Number: DAS-FOI 06/05.....

Date: 25/1/05.....



From: [REDACTED]
Directorate of Air Staff - Freedom of Information
MINISTRY OF DEFENCE
5th Floor, Zone H, Main Building, Whitehall, London SW1A 2HB

Telephone (Direct dial) 020 7218 2140
(Switchboard) 020 7218 9000
(Fax) [REDACTED]
e-mail das-ufo-office@mod.uk

[REDACTED]

Kings Norton
Birmingham

[REDACTED]

Your Reference

Our Reference
07-01-2005-104751-006

Date
26 January 2005

Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your letter dated 3 January regarding your Freedom of Information request for information about the alleged UFO incident at Rendlesham Forest, Suffolk in December 1980.

In response to your questions;

(1) The MOD records of the period contain no evidence that anything was positively identified as landing at Rendlesham Forest on 28 December 1980.

(2-4) Until 31 December 2004 in accordance with the provisions of the Public Records Act of 1958 and 1967, by law all Government files were closed from public viewing for 30 years after completion of the last action. This Act of Parliament applied to all Government files, and not just those containing information about UFO sightings. In 1994 the Government introduced the Code of Practice on Access to Government Information (the Code). This was not legislation, but a Citizen's Charter which encouraged the provision of information unless its disclosure would, for example, cause harm to defence, invade on an individual's privacy, or it would take an unreasonable diversion of resources to respond to a request. Information requested was supplied wherever possible providing it did not fall under one of the exemptions in the Code. In July 2002 a member of the public made a request under the Code for a copy of the documents the MOD holds on these events and they were released, with personal data removed in accordance with the Data Protection Act 1998. A few papers were initially withheld under exemptions of the Code, but these were also later released following an appeal. All of these papers can now be accessed via the MOD Freedom of Information Publication Scheme on the internet at <http://www.foi.mod.uk>. A search under Rendlesham Forest will take you directly to them.

I hope this is helpful. If this information does not address your requirements or you wish to complain about any aspect of the handling of this request, then you should contact the undersigned in the first instance. Should you remain dissatisfied, then you may apply for an internal review by contacting the Director of Information Exploitation, 6th Floor, MOD Main Building, Whitehall, SW1A 2HB.

If you are still unhappy following an internal review, you may take your complaint to the Information Commissioner under the provisions of Section 50 of the Freedom of Information Act. Please note that the Information Commissioner will not investigate your case until the MOD



Attn. Of : Secretariat,

(Air Staff) AS2 (?)
 Ministry of Defence
 Main Building
 Whitehall
 London SW1A 2HB

[REDACTED]
 [REDACTED]
 Kings Norton
 Birmingham [REDACTED]

January 3 - 2005


Dear Sir or Madam , Re RENDLESHAM ("UFO") INCIDENT, 1980.

Under the guidelines of the new Freedom of Information Act, I am enquiring about what has become known as "The Rendlesham Incident". The date of this incident was the night of December 27 / 28, 1980, and centred around the sighting(s) of an unidentified aerial object / craft, in effect a UFO, and an alleged landing / near landing of same. The event(s) involved members of the USAF and other personnel at RAF Bentwaters and Woodbridge bases adjacent to Rendlesham Forest in south-east England, and was subsequently reported on the "News of the World" (Oct.2 / 1983) and later via two books, "Turn Left at Eastgate" and "You Can't Tell The People". Specifically, I wish to have the following questions addressed :

- (1) Have any of the reported aerial / landed / near landed objects been identified ?
- (2) During the years following December 28, 1980, was information pertaining to any part(s) of the Rendlesham Incident withheld from the public domain?
- (3) If "yes" to Q.2., why was this information denied public scrutiny?
- (4) If applicable, do you have for release, - to send to me - a copy of any original document / memo that will support the official suppression of the Rendlesham Incident?

May I thank you, in anticipation of your co-operation in this matter, and that in accordance with the Act, you will reply to me within 20 days.

Yours faithful





**ENCLOSURE TRANSFERRED TO
FILE D/DAS/10/2/8/16 PART A**



**ENCLOSURE TRANSFERRED TO
FILE D/DAS/10/2/8/16 PART A**



**ENCLOSURE TRANSFERRED TO
FILE D/DAS/10/2/8/16 PART A**



**ENCLOSURE TRANSFERRED TO
FILE D/DAS/10/2/8/16 PART A**



[REDACTED]
From: [REDACTED]
Sent: 14 January 2005 13:38
To: [REDACTED]@hotmail.com'
Subject: Internet-authorised: Request for Information concerning UFOs

Dear [REDACTED]

I am writing concerning your request for information about Area 51 and contact with Aliens. Your enquiry has been passed to me as this office is the focal point within the Ministry of Defence for correspondence about unidentified flying objects (UFOs).

Questions about Area 51 are a matter for the US Government. I suggest therefore that you may wish to look at the US Department of Defense website www.defenselink.mil/pubs/foi/ which includes details of how to make a request for information in accordance with the US Freedom of Information Act.

With regard to your question about whether the Ministry of Defence has communicated with Aliens, the answer to this is no. The Ministry of Defence does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms, about which it remains totally open-minded. I should add that to date the Ministry of Defence knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena. The Ministry of Defence examines any reports of 'UFOs' it receives solely to establish whether what was seen might have some defence significance; namely, whether there is any evidence that the United Kingdom's airspace might have been compromised by hostile or unauthorised air activity. Unless there is evidence of a potential threat to the United Kingdom from an external source, and to date no 'UFO' report has revealed such evidence, we do not attempt to identify the precise nature of each reported sighting. We believe it is possible that rational explanations, such as aircraft lights or natural phenomena, could be found for them, but it is not the function of the Ministry of Defence to provide this kind of aerial identification service. We could not justify expenditure of public funds on investigations which go beyond our specific defence remit.

If you wish to see the information the Ministry of Defence has already published on UFOs please see the MOD Freedom of Information Act Publication Scheme at www.foi.mod.uk and search under UFO.

I hope this is helpful.

[REDACTED]

14th January 2005



GxAITESTPTCD/

14 January 05

Home My Cases Log New Request Policy Guidance Help

Request: [Redacted] 10-01-2005-112745-005
Days Left: 16

Received: 09 Jan 05
Expiry Date: 04 Feb 05
Status: Open

Workflow Options

AIT Main

View

- Audit Trail
- Comments Log
- Saved Search Result
- Contact Details
- Documents

Actions

- Assign Within My Group
- Change Alert Settings
- Edit Request Details
- Upload Document
- Close Case
- Take Ownership

Editing the request details will initiate a new search.
The new search results will be saved and will replace the existing save search results.

Date request received: 12 January 2005

Applicant Details

Title: [Redacted]

Other: [Redacted]

First Name: [Redacted]

*Surname: [Redacted]

Organisation:

Applicant Type: Private Individuals

Other: [Redacted]

Contact Details (Mailing or email address required)

Address Line1:

Address Line2:

Address Line3:

Town/City: Birmingham

Postcode:

Country: United Kingdom

Email: [Redacted]@hotmail.com

Telephone:

Fax:

GxAITESTPTCD/
14 January 05

Home My Cases Log New Request Policy Guidance Help

Request: [Redacted] 10-01-2005-112745-005

Received: 09 Jan 05
Expiry Date: 04 Feb 05
Status: Open

🔍 All sources ▼

Days Left: 16

Workflow Options

AIT Main

View

Audit Trail

Comments Log

Saved Search Result

Contact Details

Documents

Actions

Assign Within My Group

Change Alert Settings

Edit Request Details

Upload Document

Close Case

Take Ownership

Editing the request details will initiate a new search.
The new search results will be saved and will replace the existing save search results.

Request Details

Response Format Requested:

Language Requested Welsh

*Enter the request for information:

WHAT'S AREA 51 AND WHY DO U SAY ITS NOT THERE WHEN WE HAVE PHOTOS VIDEOS WHAT DO THEY DO IN THERE
ALSO HAVE YOU REALLY COMMUNICATING WITH ALIENS.
EMAIL BACK

Record storage location of Applicant request (or upload document).

Currently held with Info Access Helpdesk

Clear

⏪ Back

Next ⏩

Cancel



**ENCLOSURE TRANSFERRED TO
FILE D/DAS/10/2/8/16 PART A**



**ENCLOSURE TRANSFERRED TO
FILE D/DAS/10/2/8/16 PART A**



Dear [REDACTED]

Thank you for your request for information concerning a UFO sighting on 19th June 1978.

All the surviving Ministry of Defence UFO records for this period are available for viewing at The National Archives, Ruskin Avenue, Kew, Richmond, Surrey. Details of how to access records at The National Archives and their on line catalogue can be found at www.nationalarchives.gov.uk. A quick search of the catalogue has revealed a file which might be relevant to your enquiry. It is titled UFO Reports and Correspondence: June-August 1978 (National Archives Reference-DEFE 24/1210).

I hope this is helpful.

[REDACTED]
Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information
5th Floor
Zone H
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

7th January 2005

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:\[REDACTED\]](mailto:[REDACTED])
Sent: 06 January 2005 19:16
To: DAS-UFO-Office
Subject: FOI Request for information

Name of Applicant: [REDACTED]

Address of Applicant:

[REDACTED]
Uxbridge
Middlesex
[REDACTED]

Information required:

Dear Sir,

I am interested in a UFO sighting that occurred on 19th June 1978 and was subsequently reported to RAF Brize Norton and the investigation that was carried out afterwards by your department. My family reported the sighting and was involved in the investigation.

Do you have any records pertaining to this incident and can I have a copy of them.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]

AITCases

07012005-[REDACTED] 07-01-2005-082343-001

[New Item](#) | [Edit Item](#) | [Delete Item](#) | [Alert Me](#) | [Go Back to List](#)

Title: [REDACTED] 07-01-2005-082343-001
 Person_Title: [REDACTED] 0
 First_Name: [REDACTED]
 Surname: [REDACTED]
 Organisation:
 Applicant_Type: Not Specified
 Address_Line_1: [REDACTED]
 Address_Line_2:
 Address_Line_3:
 TownCity: Uxbridge
 Postcode: [REDACTED]
 Country: United Kingdom
 Email: [REDACTED]
 Telephone:
 Fax:
 Request_Details: I am interested in a UFO sighting that occurred on 19th June 1978 and was subsequently reported to RAF Brize Norton and the investigation that was carried out afterwards by your department. My family reported the sighting and was involved in the investigation.

Do you have any records pertaining to this incident and can I have a copy of them.
 Case_Number: 07-01-2005-082343-001
 Originator: DII [REDACTED]
 User_Group: GxAITESTPTCDAS
 Date_Received: 07/01/2005
 Date_Due: 04/02/2005
 Status: Quick Response - Closed
 Responder: DII [REDACTED]
 Vexatious_Type:
 Vexatious_Reason:
 Quick_Response_Type: Other
 Quick_Resp_Cov_Letter_Issued: No
 Media_Preference_Met: No
 Media_Date_Sent: 07/01/2005
 Media_Response_Sent: Yes
 Media_Preference_Comments: The MOD no longer holds the information requested as it is already publicly available at The National Archives. Applicant advised.
 Closed: Yes
 Date_Closed: 07/01/2005

Media_Type: Hard Copy
Requested_Language: English
Standard_Response_Comment:
Offline_Assigned_To:
Offline_Date_Due:
Offline_Date_Responded:
Ext_Ref_Department_Name:
Ext_Ref_Sent_To_PRO:
Ext_Ref_Date_Sent_To_PRO:
Ext_Ref_PRO_Accepted:
Ext_Ref_Date_PRO_Accepted:
Vexatious_Supporting_Cases:
Vexatious_Authorised_By:
Vexatious_Date_Authorised:
Vexatious_Reference:
Request_Location:
Hold_Resp_Reason:
Hold_Resp_Request_Type:
Hold_Resp_Date_Response_Expected:
Hold_Resp_Letter_Sent:
Hold_Resp_Date_Letter_Sent:
On_Hold:
Exemption_Applied: No
Exemptions_Authorised_By:
Exemptions_Date_Authorised:
Exemptions_Ref_Number:
Std_Resp_Authorised:
Std_Resp_Authorised_By:
Std_Resp_Date_Authorised:
Std_Resp_Reference:
Fees_Total_Cost:
Fees_Resp_Justification:
Fees_Resp_To_Applicant:
Fees_Notice_Details:
Fees_Refusal_Letter_Details:
Fees_Notice_Sent:
Fees_Notice_Date_Sent:
Fees_On_Hold:
Fees_Date_Paid:
Fees_Offline_Authorised_By:
Fees_Offline_Authorised: No
Fees_Date_Authorised:
Fees_Auth_Ref_Number:
Fees_Online_Authorised_By:
Fees_Online_Authorised:
Fees_Ref_Letter_Date_Sent:
Fees_Refusal_Notice_Sent:
Fees_Close_Case:
Attachments: Comments.xml

SearchResults.html
Tracing.xml

Created at 07/01/2005 08:23 by AccelK2_svc
Last modified at 07/01/2005 08:40 by AccelK2_svc

Our Reference:05-01-2005-115416-027



Dear [REDACTED]

I am writing in response to your Freedom of Information request of 4th January 2005, concerning records of Ministry of Defence plans for dealing with extraterrestrials (Your Reference: rw/et). I have been passed your request, as this Department is the focal point for correspondence concerning 'unidentified flying objects'.

The Ministry of Defence does not have any expertise or role in respect of 'UFO/flying saucer' matters or to the question of the existence or otherwise of extraterrestrial lifeforms. While we remain open-minded, to date the MOD knows of no evidence which substantiates the existence of these alleged phenomena and therefore has no plans for dealing with such a situation.

If you are interested in information currently available on UFOs please look at the MOD Freedom of Information Publication Scheme at www.foi.mod.uk and The National Archives website at www.nationalarchives.gov.uk.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]
Ministry of Defence
Directorate of Air Staff – Freedom of Information
5th Floor
Zone H
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

6th January 2005

05-01-2005 415416-027

DGINFO ADMIN
- 5 JAN 2005
MAIN BLDG 6F

[REDACTED]
Chawton,
Hampshire,
[REDACTED]

4th January 2005

[REDACTED]
FOI programme manager
Ministry of Defence
Room 831 St Giles Court
1-13 St Giles High Street
London
WC2H 8LD

Dear [REDACTED] 40

I am writing to make a request under the Freedom of Information Act.

I am writing to ask the Ministry of Defence for a list of records detailing the plans held by the MoD for dealing with the arrival of extra-terrestrials.

To ask for copies of those plans.

I would be grateful if you would email the requested information and send a copy by post. My email is [REDACTED]

I would ask that if you withhold any material you explain your reasons with reference to the new laws.

If you would like to discuss this further you can contact me on my mobile. The number is [REDACTED]

I look forward to hearing from you. Would you please quote the reference rw/et in your reply.

Best wishes.
[REDACTED]



Dear [REDACTED]

To request information about UFOs under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 you can e-mail me direct at this mailbox or use the form provided on the MOD Freedom of Information Publication Scheme site at www.foi.mod.uk, follow the link for Requesting Information. Alternatively, you may wish to write to me at the address below.

Please note in order to request information you must provide an address for a reply (postal address if you require hard copies), state in what form you would like the information, and clearly state what information you are seeking. Although a daytime telephone number is optional, it will assist us and reduce delays if we need to contact you during the processing of your request.

I hope this is helpful.

Yours sincerely,

[REDACTED]
Ministry of Defence
DAS-FOI
5th Floor
Zone H
Main Building
Whitehall
London
SW1A 2HB

4 January 2005

From: [REDACTED] [mailto:[REDACTED]]
Sent: 01 January 2005 15:50
To: DAS-UFO-Office
Subject: Freedom of Information

Dear Sir/Madam,

I wonder could you possibly tell what the procedure is for obtaining UFO information under the new Freedom of Information Act.

Many thanks,

[REDACTED]